Harmony 1.4 - The Council of Nicaea (325)

Copyright 2019 Glen L. Thompson

This document is provided for personal and educational use. It may not be used for commercial purposes without the permission of the copyright holder. Last updated 8/29/24 JTS

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
Spring 325 - Constantine summons a council in Nicaea		
1.8.4 Because the emperor saw how both of these problems troubled the church, he organized a General Council, summoning all the bishops by letter to meet him at Nicaea in Bithynia.	1.17.1 Matters turned out differently than the emperor had hoped. The disagreement was too great for reconciliation, and the one who had been sent to make peace returned without accomplishing his mission. Because of this, Constantine convened a synod at Nicaea, in Bithynia, and wrote to the most eminent men of the churches in every country, directing them to be there on an appointed day.	1.7.2 But when his hopes were frustrated, he went on to summon the celebrated council of Nicaea. He pledged his word that the bishops and those accompanying them would be furnished with donkeys, mules, and horses for their journey at public expense. When all those who were able to endure the fatigue of the journey had arrived at Nicaea, he went there himself, both to see the multitude of bishops and to fulfill his desire of leading them into unity. At once, he arranged that all their wants should be liberally supplied.
	Notable bishops and confessors attend council	
	1.17.3a About three hundred and twenty bishops were present, accompanied by a multitude of presbyters and deacons. There were also men present who were skilled in dialectics and ready to assist in the discussions.	1.7.3a Three hundred and eighteen bishops were assembled. The bishop of Rome, because of his very advanced age, was absent, but he sent two presbyters to the council, with authority to agree to what was done. During this time many individuals were richly endowed with apostolic gifts, and many, like the holy apostle, bore in their bodies the marks of the Lord Jesus Christ.
1.8.12b The emperor, when he had completed the festal celebration of this triumph over Licinius, also came in person to Nicaea. Among the bishops, two were especially prominent: Paphnutius, bishop of Upper Thebes, and Spyridon, bishop of Cyprus. After the following, I will explain why I have referred to those two in particular. [Synopsis 09] 1.13.11b As I imagine it will be appreciated by lovers of learning, I shall here add on the names of those who were present, as far as I have been able to ascertain them, with the province and city over which they presided, and likewise the date at which this assembly took place. 1.13.12 Hosius, who was I believe bishop of Cordova in Spain, as I have before stated. Vito and Vicentius,	1.17.2a Of those who occupied the apostolic sees, the following participated in this conference: Macarius of Jerusalem, Eustathius, who already presided over the church of Antioch on the Orontes; and Alexander of Alexandria near Lake Mareotis. Julius, bishop of Rome, was unable to attend on account of extreme old age; but his place was filled by Vito and Vicentius, presbyters in his church.	1.7.4 Jacob, bishop of Antioch, a city of Mygdonia (which is called Nisibis by the Syrians and Assyrians), raised the dead and restored them to life. He also performed many other wonders, but it would be superfluous to mention them again in detail in this history, as I have already given an account of them in my work, entitled "Philotheus." 1.7.5 Paul, bishop of Neocaesarea, a fortress situated on the banks of the Euphrates, had suffered from the frantic rage of Licinius. He had been deprived of the use of both hands by the application of a red-hot iron, by which the nerves which give motion to the muscles had been stretched out and made dead.

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
presbyters of Rome, Alexander, bishop of Egypt, Eustathius of Antiochia Magna, Macarius of Jerusalem, and Harpocration of Cynopolis: the names of the rest are fully reported in <i>The Synodicon</i> of Athanasius, bishop of Alexandria.		1.7.6 Some had had the right eye dug out; others had lost the right arm. Among these was Paphnutius of Egypt. In short, the Council looked like an assembled army of martyrs. 1.7.7a Yet this holy and celebrated gathering was not entirely free of opposition; there were some, though so few they were easy to count, who appeared safe, like dangerous shallows. In reality, though not openly, they
1.8.13 Many of the laity who were skilled in the art of reasoning were also present. Each one was eager to advocate the cause of his own party. Eusebius, bishop of Nicomedia, as was said before, supported the opinion of Arius, together with Theognis and Maris; of these the former was bishop of Nicaea, and Maris of Chalcedon in Bithynia. These were powerfully opposed by Athanasius, a deacon of the Alexandrian church, who was highly esteemed by Alexander, his bishop. This resulted in jealousy against him, as will be seen later.	1.17.2b Many other excellent and good men from different nations were gathered together. Some were celebrated for their learning, their eloquence, their knowledge of the sacred books and other learning; some for the virtuous quality of their life, and others for a combination of all these qualifications.	supported the blasphemy of Arius.
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	isebius's account of those who attended the cour	ıcil
1.8.4b In response, the bishops assembled out of the various provinces and cities; This is what Eusebius Pamphilus writes about them, word for word, in his third book of the life of Constantine: 1.8.5 'So the most eminent of the ministers of God in all the churches which have filled Europe, Africa, and Asia, were brought together. And one house of worship, as it was opened wide by God, contained on the same occasion both Syrians and Cilicians, Phoenicians, Arabs and Palestinians, and in addition to these, Egyptians, Thebans, Libyans, and those who came from Mesopotamia. A Persian bishop was also present at this synod and Scythians were at the assembly as well. Pontus as well, and Galatia, Pamphylia, Cappadocia, Asia and Phrygia, supplied those people who were most distinguished among them. Besides those, Thracians and Macedonians met there. 1.8.6 Achaians and Epirots, and even those who lived even further away than those, and the most celebrated of		

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
the Spaniards himself, took their seats among the rest.	2020	111000000
The prelate of the imperial city was absent because of his		
age; but some of his presbyters were present and stood in		
for him.		
1.8.7 Emperor Constantine alone continued to dedicate		
such a crown, composed as a bond of peace, to Christ his		
Savior. He dedicated it to him as a thank-offering worthy		
of God for victory over his enemies by appointing this		
gathering among us as an imitation of the Apostolic		
Assembly.		
1.8.8 For among them, it is said, were gathered "devout		
men of every nation under heaven; Parthians, Medes and		
Elamites, and those who dwelled in Mesopotamia, Judaea		
and Cappadocia, Pontus and Asia, Phrygia and		
Pamphylia, Egypt and the part of Libya which is toward		
Cyrene, strangers from Rome also, both Jews and		
proselytes, Cretans and Arabs."		
1.8.9 That congregation, however, was inferior in this		
way: that everyone present was not a minister of God. In		
this assembly the number of bishops exceeded three		
hundred; while the number of the presbyters, deacons,		
and others who attended them was almost impossible to		
count.		
1.8.10 Some of these ministers of God were notable for		
their wisdom, some for the strictness of their life and		
patient endurance [of persecution], and others adorned		
themselves with all of these distinguished characteristics.		
1.8.11 Some were venerable because of their advanced		
age, others were conspicuous for their youth and vigorous		
minds, and others had only recently entered their ministerial career. For all these the emperor arranged for		
an abundant supply of daily food to be provided.'		
an abundant supply of daily food to be provided.		
1.8.12a That is Eusebius' account of those who met on		
this occasion.		
	Debating begins before official start of Council	
1.8.14 Now a short time before the general assembling	1.17.6 But before the appointed time arrived, the	
of the bishops, the disputants competed in in preparatory	bishops assembled together and summoned Arius to	
debates before the multitudes.	attend. They began to examine the disputed topics, and	

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
	each one of them advanced his own opinion. As might	
	have been expected, however, many different questions	
	grew out of the discussion. Some of the bishops spoke	
	against the introduction of novelties contrary to the faith	
	which had been delivered to them from the beginning.	
	Those who had especially adhered to simplicity of	
	doctrine argued that the faith of God ought simply to be	
	accepted; others, however, contended that ancient	
	opinions ought not to be followed without examination.	
	1.17.7 Many of the assembled bishops and the clergy	
	who accompanied them were remarkably skilled in	
	dialectics and trained in the art of rhetoric. They appeared	
	prominent, and so attracted the notice of the emperor and	
	the court. Of that number Athanasius, who was then a	
	deacon of Alexandria, and had accompanied his bishop	
	Alexander, seemed to have the largest share of advice	
	about these subjects.	
	1.18.1 A number of the pagan philosophers desired to	
	take part in the debates. Some wanted to learn more about	
	the doctrine that was being taught. Others, who hated the	
	Christians because of the recent suppression of pagan religions, wanted to turn the discussion about doctrine	
	into an argument over words. They sought to introduce	
	dissension among the Christians and make them appear to	
	hold contradictory opinions.	
1.8.15a When many people were drawn in by their	1.18.2 It is said, then, that one of these philosophers	
interesting discourse, one of the laity, a confessor, a man	who prided himself on his well-known superiority in	
with an unsophisticated mind, rebuked these rationalists.	speaking eloquently, began to ridicule the priests. This	
The are anophisticated filling, recorded these rationalists.	roused the indignation of a simple old man, who was	
	highly esteemed as a confessor. Although he was	
	unskilled in logical debate and spoke simply, he dared to	
	oppose him. The less serious of those who knew the	
	confessor, laughed at his expense for what he wanted to	
	do; but the more thoughtful were anxious that, in	
	opposing such an eloquent man, he would only make a	
	fool of himself;	
1.8.15b He told them that Christ and his apostles did	1.18.3 yet his influence was so great, and his reputation	
not teach us dialectics, craftiness, or vain subtleties, but	so high that they could not stop him from engaging in the	

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
simple-mindedness, which is preserved by faith and good	debate. He said, "In the name of Jesus Christ, O	
works	philosopher, listen to me. There is one God, the maker of	
	heaven and earth, and of all things visible and invisible.	
	He made all things by the power of the Word, and	
	established them by the holiness of His Spirit. The Word,	
	whom we call the Son of God, seeing that man was sunk	
	in error and living like beasts, pitied him, and chose to be	
	born of woman, to interact with men, and to die for them.	
	And He will come again to judge each of us for the deeds	
	of this present life. We simply believe these things to be	
	true. Do not, therefore, work in vain, striving to disprove	
	facts which can only be understood by faith or	
	scrutinizing how these things did or did not actually	
	happen. Answer me, do you believe?"	
1.8.16 When he had said this, all who were present	1.18.4 The philosopher, astonished at what had	
admired the speaker and agreed with what he said; and	happened, replied, "I believe." He thanked the old man	
the disputants themselves, after hearing his plain	for overcoming him with his argument and began to teach	
statement of the truth, exercised a greater degree of	the same doctrines to others. He encouraged those who	
moderation. That is how the disturbance caused by these	still held his former sentiments to adopt the view he had	
logical debates was suppressed at that time.	now embraced, assuring them on oath that some	
	inexplicable power had compelled him to become a	
	Christian.	
	1.18.5 It is said that a similar miracle was performed by	
	Alexander, who governed the church of Constantinople.	
	When Constantine returned to Byzantium, certain	
	philosophers came to him complaining about innovations	
	in religion. Particularly, they complained that he had	
	introduced a new form of worship into the state, contrary	
	to what was followed by his forefathers, and by everyone	
	who had formerly been in power, whether among the	
	Greeks or the Romans. They also were trying to debate	
	the doctrine with Alexander the bishop;	
	1.18.6 and he, although he was unskilled in this type of	
	argumentative contest, accepted the struggle at the	
	command of the emperor, who was perhaps persuaded by	
	his life (he was a good and excellent man). The	
	philosophers assembled, but since all of them wished to	
	engage in the discussion, they set apart one whom they	

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
	considered worthy as a spokesman while the others were to remain silent. 1.18.7 When one of the philosophers began to open the debate, Alexander said to him, "I command you in the name of Jesus Christ not to speak." The man was instantly silenced. It is then right to consider whether it is a greater miracle that a man, and he a philosopher, was so easily silenced by a word, or that a stone-wall was cleft by the power of a word, a miracle I have heard some attribute to Julian, surnamed the Chaldean. From what I have heard, those events happened in the way I have written above.	
20 May 3	325 – Council officially begins when Constantin	e arrives
1.8.17a On the following day all the bishops were assembled together in one place; the emperor arrived soon after.	1.19.1a The bishops held long consultations; and after summoning Arius before them, they made an accurate test of his propositions. They were intently on their guard not to come to a vote on either side. When the appointed day that had been chosen to settle the points in question finally arrived, they assembled together in the palace, because the emperor had signified that he would like to take part in the deliberations.	1.7.7b When they were all assembled, the emperor ordered a great hall in the palace to be prepared to accommodate them, in which a sufficient number of benches and seats were placed;. 1.7.8 When he had prepared everything in a way that would honor them properly, he allowed the bishops to enter and discuss the subjects which had been proposed.
1.8.17b When he had entered, he stood in among them and would not take his place until the bishops, by nodding their assent, indicated that they wanted him to sit. Such was the respect and reverence which the emperor entertained for these men.	1.19.1b When the emperor was in the same place with the priests, he passed through to the head of the conference, and seated himself on the throne which had been prepared for him, and the synod was then commanded to be seated. 1.19.2a Seats had been arranged on either side along the walls of the palace room, for it was the largest and better than the other rooms. 1.19.2b After they were seated, Eusebius Pamphilus rose and delivered a speech in honor of the emperor, giving thanks to God because of him.	1.7.9 The emperor, with a few attendants, was the last to enter the room. He was noticeable because of his impressive stature, worthy of admiration for personal beauty and for the still more marvelous modesty set on his brow. A low stool was placed for him in the middle of the assembly, but he did not seat himself on it until he had asked the permission of the bishops. Then all the sacred assembly sat down around him. 1.7.10 Immediately, before anyone else, rose the great Eustathius, bishop of Antioch (the unanimous vote of the bishops, priests, and Christ-loving laity had compelled him, reluctantly, to become the successor when Philogonius, whom I already referred to, passed into the better life). He crowned the emperor's head with the flowers of panegyric, and commended how diligently he
Cor	nstantine urges for unity and addresses the bish	had paid attention to ecclesiastical affairs. ops

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
	1.17.3b And as was usually the case on such occasions, many of the clergy used the council as an excuse to bring up their own private affairs. They considered this an opportune time to rectify their grievances. Concerning those grievances, each person blamed another and	1.11.4 I do not account it right to pass over the following circumstance in silence. Some quarrelsome individuals wrote accusations against certain bishops, and presented their indictments to the emperor.
	presented a document to the emperor in which he reported the offenses committed against him. 1.17.4 As this kept happening day after day, the	1.11.5 This occurred before the establishment of
	emperor set apart one certain day on which all complaints were to be brought before him. When the appointed time arrived, he took the memorials which had been presented to him, and said, "All these accusations will be brought forward in their own time at the great day of judgment, and there will be judged by the Great Judge of all men; it is not right to drag out a hearing like this against each other before me, a man, when the accuser and the accused are priests. Priests ought to present themselves in a way that never falls under the judgment of others. Imitate, therefore, the divine love and mercy of God, and be reconciled to one another; withdraw your accusations against each other. Let us make peace and devote our attention to those subjects connected with the faith, the reason we are assembled here." 1.17.5 After this address, in order to nullify each document, the emperor commanded the memorials to be burned, and then appointed a day for solving the	concord. He received the lists, formed them into a packet which he sealed with his ring, and ordered them to be kept safely. After the reconciliation had been effected, he brought out these writings, and burned them in their presence, at the same time declaring upon oath that he had not read a word of them. He said that the crimes of priests ought not to be made known to the multitude, lest they should become an occasion of offense, and lead them to sin without fear.
	disagreements.	1.11.6 It is reported also that he added that if he were to detect a bishop in the very act of committing adultery, he would throw his imperial robe over the unlawful deed, lest any should witness the scene, and be thereby injured. Thus did he admonish all the clergy, as well as confer honors upon them, and then exhorted them to return each to his own flock.
1.8.18 When they had achieved a silence suitable to the occasion, the emperor, still sitting, began to address them. He spoke with words of exhortation to harmony and unity, and advised each person to lay aside every private grievance. For several of them had brought accusations		

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
against one another and many had even presented petitions to the emperor the day before. 1.8.19 But he, directing their attention to the matter before them, which was the reason they were assembled, ordered these petitions to be burned. He merely observed that 'Christ urges the one who is anxious to obtain forgiveness, to forgive his brother.' 1.8.20a When, then, he had strongly insisted on the maintenance of harmony and peace, he turned their attention back to more closely investigating the questions at hand.	1.19.3-4 When he had finished, and silence was restored, the emperor said, "I give thanks to God for all things, but particularly, O friends, for being permitted to see your assembly. And the event has exceeded my prayer, in that so many priests of Christ have been led into the same place; now, it is my desire that you should be of one mind and agree with the judgment of your companions. I consider dissension in the Church of God as more dangerous than any other evil. When, then, something that is not good to hear was reported, my soul was deeply pained. I gathered that you had differing opinions—you, who profit least of all from disagreement as leaders of divine worship and judges of peace. For this reason I have called the priesthood together to a synod. As both your emperor and fellow-physician, I ask for a favor which would be acceptable to our common Lord, which is as honorable for me to receive as it is for you to grant. The favor which I seek is that you examine the causes of the strife and put a harmonious and peaceful end to it so that I can stand triumphant with you over the envious demon. He was provoked to incite this internal revolt when he saw our external enemies and tyrants under our feet, and he was jealous of our good state of affairs. 1.19.4 The emperor said this discourse in Latin, and the interpretation was supplied by someone beside him. Constantine guides the council to unity	
1.8.20b-23 But it may be useful to hear what Eusebius	Constantine guides the council to unity	
says on this subject in his third book of the Life of Constantine. His words are these:		
1.8.21 'A variety of topics were introduced by each	1.20.1a The next debate by the priests turned upon	1.7.11 Next, the excellent emperor urged the Bishops to
party and lengthy debate arose from the very beginning.	doctrine. The emperor gave patient attention to the	have unanimity and concord; he called them to remember

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
The emperor listened to everything with patient attention,	speeches of both parties; he applauded those who spoke	the cruelty of the recent tyrants, and reminded them of the
quietly and attentively considering whatever was advanced. 1.8.22 He partially supported the statements which were made on either side, and gradually softened the severity of those who belligerently opposed each other, placating each side with his mildness and persuasiveness. He addressed them in the Greek language—he was not unacquainted with it. He was at once courteous and endearing, persuading some, winning over others with a plea, and applauding those who spoke well.	well, and rebuked those who displayed a tendency to bicker. According to his understanding of what he had heard, for he was not completely unfamiliar with the Greek language, he addressed himself with kindness to each one.	honorable peace which God had given them in his reign and through his position. He pointed out how terrible it might be, yes, extremely terrible, that at the very time when their enemies were destroyed, and when no one dared to oppose them, that they would attack one another. They would make their amused adversaries laugh, especially as they were debating holy things, concerning which they had the written teaching of the Holy Spirit. 1.7.12a "For the gospels," he continued, "the apostolic writings, and the oracles of the ancient prophets, clearly teach us what we ought to believe concerning the divine nature. Let, then, all contentious disputation be banished; and let us seek in the divinely-inspired word the solution of the questions at hand."
1.8.23 By spurring everyone on into unity, he succeeded in bringing them into similar judgments and conformity of opinion on all the disputed points. There was not only unity in the confession of faith, but also a general agreement as to the time for the celebration of the feast of Salvation. At this time the doctrines which had common agreement were confirmed by the signature of each individual.'	1.20.1b Finally all the priests agreed with one another and conceded that the Son is consubstantial with the Father. At the conclusion of the conference there were only seventeen who praised the opinion of Arius, but eventually the majority of these yielded and agreed with the general view. 1.20.2 The emperor deferred to this ruling. He regarded the unanimity of the conference to be a divine approval and he declared that anyone who rebelled against it would be immediately sent into banishment as guilty of trying to overthrow the Divine definitions.	1.7.12b These and similar exhortations he, like an affectionate son, addressed the bishops like fathers, working to bring about their unanimity in the apostolic doctrines. Most of the members of the synod, won over by his arguments, established concord among themselves and embraced sound doctrine.
	Reliability of Eusebius	
1.8.24 In his own words, that is the testimony of these things which Eusebius has left us in writing; and we have not randomly put it in here. Treating what he has said as an authority, we have introduced it here for the legitimacy of this history. We also have this aim in mind: if anyone condemns the faith confessed at this council of Nicaea as false, we will be unaffected by it and not believe Sabinus the Macedonian, who calls all those who came together there ignoramuses and simpletons. 1.8.25 For this Sabinus, who was bishop of the Macedonians at Heraclea in Thrace and made a collection of the decrees published by various Synods of bishops,		

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
has treated those who assembled in Nicaea with contempt		
and derision. He does not understand that when he does		
so he is charging Eusebius himself with ignorance, who,		
when under examination, made an identical confession.		
And in fact some things he has willfully passed over,		
others he has corrupted, and he has put a construction		
favorable to his own views on everything.		
1.8.26 On the one hand, he commends Eusebius		
Pamphilus as a trustworthy witness and praises the		
emperor as capable at stating Christian doctrines. On the		
other hand, he still brands the faith which was declared at		
Nicaea as something given out by people who had no		
knowledge of the matter. In this way he willingly		
condemns the words of a man whom he himself		
pronounces to be a wise and true witness.		
1.8.27 For Eusebius says that of the ministers of God		
who were present at the Nicene Synod, some were		
eminent for the word of wisdom and others for the		
strictness of their lives, and that the emperor himself, who		
was present and leading everyone into a consensus,		
established unity of judgment and agreement of opinion		
among them.		
1.8.28a Of Sabinus, however, we will make further		
mention as occasion may require.		
	The Creed of Nicaea	
1.8.28b But the agreement of faith, produced by the	1.20.3 I had thought it necessary to reproduce the actual	
great synod in Nicaea and praised with a loud voice by	document concerning the matter, as an example of the	
Eusebius, is this:	truth, in order that those who follow might possess in a	
1.8.29 "We believe in one God, the Father Almighty,	fixed and clear form the symbol of the faith which	
Maker of all things visible and invisible:—and in one.	provided some peace at the time. But since some pious	
Lord Jesus Christ, the Son of God, the only-begotten of	friends, who understood such matters, recommended that	
the Father, that is of the substance of the Father; God of	these truths ought to be spoken of and heard by the	
God and Light of light; true God of true God; begotten,	initiated and their initiators only, I agreed with their	
not made, consubstantial with the Father: by whom all	advice It is not unlikely that some of the uninitiated may	
things were made, both which are in heaven and on earth:	read this book. While I have concealed the portion of	
who for the sake of us men, and on account of our	material that I ought to keep silent about, I have not	
salvation, descended, became incarnate, and was made	altogether left the reader ignorant of the opinions held by	
man; suffered, arose again the third day, and ascended	the synod.	
into the heavens and will come again to judge the living		

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
and the dead. [We] also [believe] in the Holy Spirit.	Sozonien	Theodoret
1.8.30 The holy Catholic and Apostolic church		
anathematizes those who say 'There was a time when he		
was not,' and 'He was not before he was begotten' and		
'He was made from that which did not exist,' and those		
who assert that he is of different substance or essence		
than the Father, or that the Son of God was created, or is		
susceptible to change."		
susception to change.		
The	council condemns <i>Thalia</i> , which was written by	Arius
1.9.16a It should also be observed that Arius had	1.21.3 The words in which his opinions were couched	
written a treatise on his own opinion which he entitled	were likewise condemned, and also a work entitled	
Thalia; but the character of the book is loose and	"Thalia," which he had written on the subject. I have not	
degenerate, similar in its style and metres to the songs of	read this book, but I understand that it is of a loose	
Sotades. This production also the Synod condemned at	character, resembling Sotadus in licentiousness.	
the same time.		
	Constantine rebukes Acesius	
1.10.1 The emperor's diligence induces me to mention	1.22.1 It is related, that the emperor, under the impulse	
another circumstance that expresses his mind and serves	of an ardent desire to see harmony re-established among	
to show how much he desired peace. For aiming at	Christians, summoned Acesius, bishop of the church of	
ecclesiastical harmony, he summoned to the council	the Novatians, to the council, placed before him the	
Acesius also, a bishop of the sect of Novatians.	definition of the faith and of the feast, which had already	
1.10.2 Now, when the declaration of faith had been	been confirmed by the signatures of the bishops, and	
written out and subscribed by the Synod, the emperor	asked whether he could agree to it. Acesius answered that	
asked Acesius whether he would also agree to this creed	their exposition defined no new doctrine, and that he	
and to the settlement of the day on which Easter should	agreed in opinion with the Synod, and that he had from	
be observed. He replied, 'The Synod has determined	the beginning held these sentiments with respect both to	
nothing new, my prince: for now, and even from the	the faith and to the feast.	
beginning, from the times of the apostles, I traditionally		
received the definition of the faith, and the time of the		
celebration of Easter.'		
1.10.3 When, therefore, the emperor further asked him,	1.22.2 "Why, then," said the emperor, "do you keep	
'For what reason then do you separate yourself from	aloof from communion with others, if you are of one	
communion with the rest of the Church?' he related what	mind with them?" He replied that the dissension first	

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
had taken place during the persecution under Decius; and	broke out under Decius, between Novatius and Cornelius,	
referred to the rigidness of that austere canon which	and that he considered such people unworthy of	
declares, that it is not right for people, who after baptism	communion who, after baptism, had fallen into those sins	
have committed a sin which the sacred Scriptures call 'a	which the Scriptures declare to be unto death; for the	
sin unto death,' to be considered worthy of participation	remission of those sins, he thought, depended on the	
in the sacraments; that they should indeed be exhorted to	authority of God only, and not on the priests. The	
repentance, but were not to expect remission from the	emperor replied, by saying, "O Acesius, take a ladder and	
priest, but from God, who is able and has authority to	ascend alone to heaven."	
forgive sins.	1.22.3 By this speech I do not imagine the emperor	
1.10.4 When Acesius had thus spoken, the emperor said	intended to praise Acesius, but rather to convict him,	
to him, 'Take a ladder, Acesius, and climb alone into	because, being but a man, he fancied himself exempt	
heaven.'	from sin.	
1.10.5 Neither Eusebius Pamphilus nor any other has		
ever mentioned these things, but I heard them from a man		
by no means prone to falsehood, who was very old, and		
simply stated what had taken place in the council in the		
course of a narrative. From this I conjecture that those		
who have passed by this occurrence in silence, were		
driven by motives which have influenced many other		
historians, for they frequently suppress important facts,		
either from prejudice against some, or partiality towards		
others. So far concerning Acesius.		
The council allows th	e Meletians back into fellowship but take away	their status as bishops
	1.24.1 After an investigation had been made into the	1.9.1a After Meletius had been ordained bishop, which
	conduct of Meletius when in Egypt, the Synod sentenced	was not long before the Arian controversy, he was
	him to reside in Lycus, and to retain only the name of	convicted of certain crimes by the most holy Peter, bishop
	bishop, and prohibited him from ordaining any one either	of Alexandria, who also received the crown of martyrdom.
	in a city or a village. Those who had previously been	After being deposed by Peter he did not acquiesce in his
	ordained by him were permitted by this law to remain in	deposition, but filled the Thebaid and the adjacent part of
	communion and in the ministry, but were to be accounted	Egypt with tumult and disturbance, and rebelled against
	secondary with regards to dignity of the clergy in church	the primacy of Alexandria.
	and parish.	1
	1	
	1.24.2 When by death an appointment became vacant,	
	they were allowed to succeed to it, if deemed worthy, by	
	the vote of the multitude, but in this case, were to be	
	ordained by the bishop of the Church of Alexandria, for	
	they were prohibited from exercising any power or	
	influence in elections.	

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
	1.24.3 This regulation appeared just to the Synod, for Meletius and his followers had manifested great rashness and boldness in administering ordination. So the regulation also nullified the ordinations of every type which differed from those of Peter. He, when he conducted the Alexandrian Church, fled on account of the persecution then raging, but afterward suffered martyrdom.	
1.8.55b At the same time the Synod itself also, as one, wrote the following epistle to the church of the Alexandrians, and to believers in Egypt, Libya, and	inary raom	1.9.1b A letter was written by the council to the Church of Alexandria, stating what had been decreed against his revolutionary practices. It was as follows:
Pentapolis 1.9.1 To the great church of the Alexandrians, which is holy by the grace of God, and to our beloved brothers throughout Egypt, Libya, and the Pentapolis. We bishops assembled at Nicaea, constituting the great and holy council, send greetings in the Lord. 1.9.2 Since, by the grace of God, a great and holy council has been convened at Nicaea, after our most pious sovereign Constantine summoned us out of various cities and provinces for that purpose, we at the sacred council thought it most necessary to write you a letter, in order that you may know what subjects were considered and examined, and what was eventually decided on and decreed. 1.9.3 In the first place, the impiety and guilt of Arius and his adherents was examined in the presence of our most pious emperor Constantine. We unanimously decided that his impious opinion should be anathematized, with all the blasphemous expressions he has uttered, namely that "the Son of God came to be out of nothing," that "there was a time when he was not," and even that "the Son of God, because he possessed free will, was capable of either both evil and good." They also call him a creature (ktisma) and a work (poiēma). The holy Council has anathematized all these ideas, barely able to endure it as we listened to such impious opinions (or rather madnesses) and such blasphemous words.		1.9.2 To the great church of the Alexandrians, which is holy by the grace of God, and to our beloved brothers throughout Egypt, Libya, and the Pentapolis. We bishops assembled at Nicaea, constituting the great and holy council, send greetings in the Lord. 1.9.3 Since, by the grace of God, a great and holy council has been convened at Nicaea, after our most pious sovereign Constantine summoned us out of various cities and provinces for that purpose, we at the sacred council thought it most necessary to write you a letter, in order that you may know what subjects were considered and examined, and what was eventually decided on and decreed. 1.9.4 In the first place, the impiety and guilt of Arius and his adherents was examined in the presence of our most pious emperor Constantine. We unanimously decided that his impious opinion should be anathematized, with all the blasphemous expressions he has uttered, namely that "the Son of God came to be out of nothing," that "there was a time when he was not," and even that "the Son of God, because he possessed free will, was capable of either both evil and good." They also call him a creature (ktisma) and a work (poiēma). 1.9.5 The holy Council has anathematized all these ideas, barely able to endure it as we listened to such impious opinions (or rather madnesses) and such

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
1.9.4 You must either have been informed of the verdict		of the verdict of our proceedings against him already, or
of our proceedings against him already, or you will soon		you will soon learn. We will omit relating our actions
learn. We will omit relating our actions here, for we		here, for we would not trample on a man who has already
would not trample on a man who has already received the		received the punishement which his crime deserved.
punishement which his crime deserved. Yet his deadly		1.9.6 Yet his deadly error has proved so contagious that
error has proved so contagious that it has dragged		it has dragged Theonas of Marmarica, and Secundus of
Theonas of Marmarica, and Secundus of Ptolemaïs, into		Ptolemaïs, into destruction; for they have suffered the
destruction; for they have suffered the same		same condemnation as Arius.
condemnation as Arius.		But after the grace of God delivered us from those
1.9.5 But after the grace of God delivered us from those		detestable heresies, with all their impiety and blasphemy,
detestable heresies, with all their impiety and blasphemy,		and from those persons, who had dared to cause such
and from those persons, who had dared to cause such		conflict and division among a people previously at peace,
conflict and division among a people previously at peace,		the rash actions of Meletius and those who had been
the rash actions of Meletius and those who had been		ordained by him still remained to be dealt with. We now
ordained by him still remained to be dealt with. We now		state to you, beloved brothers, what resolution the Council
state to you, beloved brothers, what resolution the		came to on this point.
Council came to on this point.		1.9.7 The Council was moved with compassion towards
1.9.6 The Council was moved with compassion towards		Meletius, although strictly speaking he was wholly
Meletius, although strictly speaking he was wholly		undeserving of favor, and decreed that he remain in office
undeserving of favor, and decreed that he remain in office		in his own city but exercise no authority either to ordain
in his own city but exercise no authority either to ordain		or nominate for ordination; and that he appear in no other
or nominate for ordination; and that he appear in no other		district or city on this pretense, retaining no more than the
district or city on this pretense, retaining no more than the		normal level of authority. The Council also decided that
normal level of authority.		those who had been appointed by him, after having been
1.9.7 The Council also decided that those who had been		confirmed by a more legitimate ordination, should be
appointed by him, after having been confirmed by a more		admitted to communion on these conditions: that they
legitimate ordination, should be admitted to communion		should continue to hold their rank and ministry, but regard
on these conditions: that they should continue to hold		themselves as inferior in every respect to all those who
their rank and ministry, but regard themselves as inferior		have been ordained and established in each place and
in every respect to all those who have been ordained and		church by our most-honored fellow-minister, Alexander.
established in each place and church by our most-honored		Thus they will have no authority to propose or nominate
fellow-minister, Alexander. Thus they will have no		whom they please, or to do anything at all without the
authority to propose or nominate whom they please, or to		agreement of some bishop of the catholic church who is
do anything at all without the agreement of some bishop		one of Alexander's subordinates.
of the catholic church who is one of Alexander's		1.9.8 On the other hand, those who by the grace of God
subordinates.		and your prayers have not been found in schism, but have
1.9.8 On the other hand, those who by the grace of God		continued blameless in the catholic church, shall have
and your prayers have not been found in schism, but have		authority to nominate and ordain those who are worthy of
continued blameless in the catholic church, shall have		

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
authority to nominate and ordain those who are worthy of		the sacred office, and to act in all things according to
the sacred office, and to act in all things according to		ecclesiastical law and custom.
ecclesiastical law and custom.		1.9.9 When it happens that those holding offices in the
1.9.9 When it happens that those holding offices in the		church die, then these who have been recently admitted
church die, then these who have been recently admitted		will be advanced to the office of the deceased, provided
will be advanced to the office of the deceased, provided		that they are found worthy, that they are duly elected, and
that they are found worthy, that they are duly elected, and		that the bishop of Alexandria ratifies the decision.
that the bishop of Alexandria ratifies the decision.		1.9.10 This right is allowed for all the others indeed, but
1.9.10 This right is allowed for all the others indeed, but		to Meletius personally we by no means grant the same
to Meletius personally we by no means grant the same		permission, on account of his former disorderly conduct,
permission, on account of his former disorderly conduct,		and because of the rashness and fickleness of his
and because of the rashness and fickleness of his		character. We want no authority or jurisdiction to be given
character. We want no authority or jurisdiction to be given		to him, for he is a man liable again to create similar
to him, for he is a man liable again to create similar		disturbances.
disturbances.		1.9.11 These are the things which specifically affect
1.9.11 These are the things which specifically affect		Egypt, and the most holy church of the Alexandrians. If
Egypt, and the most holy church of the Alexandrians. If		any other canon or ordinance has been established, our
any other canon or ordinance has been established, our		Lord and most-honored fellow-minister and brother
Lord and most-honored fellow-minister and brother		Alexander, who is present with us, will explain the more
Alexander, who is present with us, will explain the more		specific details when he returns to you, since he has
specific details when he returns to you, since he has		participated in all we have done, and has in fact been the
participated in all we have done, and has in fact been the		leader.
leader.		1.9.12 We also have good news for you that we have
1.9.12 We also have good news for you that we have		harmonized our opinions on the subject of the most holy
harmonized our opinions on the subject of the most holy		feast of Easter, which has been happily settled through
feast of Easter, which has been happily settled through		your prayers. All the brothers in the east who have
your prayers. All the brothers in the east who have		previously kept this festival when the Jews did have
previously kept this festival when the Jews did have		agreed with the Romans, with us, and with all of you who
agreed with the Romans, with us, and with all of you who		have kept Easter with us from the beginning, to follow the
have kept Easter with us from the beginning, to follow		same custom as we.
the same custom as we.		1.9.13 So rejoice in these results and in the general
1.9.13 So rejoice in these results and in the general		agreement and peace, as well as in the cleansing of all
agreement and peace, as well as in the cleansing of all		heresy. Receive our fellow-minister and your bishop
heresy. Receive our fellow-minister and your bishop		Alexander with great honor and abundant love, because he
Alexander with great honor and abundant love, because		has greatly delighted us by his presence. Even at his
he has greatly delighted us by his presence. Even at his		advanced age, he has undergone extraordinary efforts in
advanced age, he has undergone extraordinary efforts in		order that peace might be re-established among you. Pray
order that peace might be re-established among you.		on behalf of us all, that the things we decided were
		appropriate may be maintained without violation through

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
1.9.14 Pray on behalf of us all, that the things we		Almighty God, and our Lord Jesus Christ, together with
decided were appropriate may be maintained without		the Holy Spirit, to whom be glory forever. Amen.
violation through Almighty God, and our Lord Jesus		
Christ, together with the Holy Spirit, to whom be glory		
forever. Amen. ¹		
1.9.15 This epistle of the Synod makes it plain that they		
not only anathematized Arius and his adherents, but also		
the very expressions of his beliefs; and that after they		
agreed among themselves respecting the celebration of		
Easter, they readmitted the arch-heretic Meletius into		
communion, allowing him to retain his episcopal rank,		
but depriving him of all authority to act as a bishop. It is		
for this reason I suppose that even at the present time the		
Meletians in Egypt are separated from the church,		
because the Synod removed all power from Meletius.		
Paphnutius o	onvinces the council not to impose celibacy on n	narried clergy
	1.23.1 Zealous of reforming the life of those who were	
	involved with the work of the church, the Synod enacted	
	laws which were called canons.	
1.11.3c The bishops thought it proper to introduce a	1.23.2 While they were deliberating about this, some	
new law into the church, namely, that those who were	thought that a law ought to be passed enacting that	
ordained to serve as bishops, priests, deacons and	bishops and presbyters, deacons and subdeacons, should	
subdeacons who had married while still laymen, should	hold no intercourse with the wife they had married before	
no longer have sexual relations with their wives.	they entered the priesthood;	
1.11.4 While they were discussing this matter,	1.23.3 but Paphnutius, the confessor, stood up and	
Paphnutius rose in the middle of the assembled bishops	testified against this proposition; he said that marriage	
and pleaded earnestly with them not to impose such a	was honorable and chaste, and that cohabitation with their	
heavy burden on these men of the church. "Marriage is in	own wives was chastity, and advised the Synod not to	
and of itself honorable," he asserted, "and sex is not	frame such a law, for it would be difficult to bear, and	
unholy.' And so he urged them before God not to harm	might serve as an occasion of straying for them and their	
the church by imposing restrictions that were too	wives.	
stringent. "For not every man," he said, "can endure a life		
of total abstinence, nor might the wives always preserve		
their chastity either." He defined intercourse between a		
man and his lawful wife as chastity.		

 $^{^{1} \} Socrates \ 1.9.1-14 \ and \ Theodoret \ 1.9.2-13 \ are \ almost \ identical \ Greek \ text. \ Cf. \ \underline{http://www.fourthcentury.com/index.php/urkunde-23}.$

1.11.5 It would be enough, he thought, if those men who were celibate when they entered the ministry remained ummarried, as was the ancient tradition of the church. Yet men should not be separated from wives whom they had married while still unordained. 1.11.6 And he expressed these sentiments although he himself had no experience with marriage, and, to speak frankly, had no knowledge of women. For from bydood he had been brought up in a monastery, and was especially famous for his chastity. 1.11.7 All the assembled clergy agreed with Paphnutius's reasoning, and silenced all further debate on this issue, allowing married clergy to remain abstinent at their own discretion. So much concerning Paphnutius. Reception of the creed and the exile of dissenters 1.2.1.1 tought to be known, that they affirmed the Son to be econsubstantial with the Father and that these are to be excommunicated and voted aliens to the Catholic Church, who assert that there was made from what had no existence, and that He is subject to change or mutation. 1.8.31 This creed was recognized and affirmed by three hundred and eighteen [bishops]; and because they were, as Eusebius says, unanimous in expression and sentiment, they signed it. Only five would not receive it, objecting to the term homoous to groof the seame essence," or consubstantial; these were Eusebius bishop of for head of the consubstantial; these were Eusebius bishop of for head of the consubstantial; these were Eusebius bishop of of Nicomedia; by Theognis, bishop of Ptolemais in Libya. Eusebius Pamphilus, however, withheld his assent. 1.21.2 This decision was sanctioned by Eusebius, bishop of Scythopolis; and by Secundus, bishop of Ptolemais in Libya. Eusebius Pamphilus, however, withheld his assent. 1.7.13 There were, however, a few, whom I member of a little while, but on further examination assented.	Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
who were celibate when they entered the ministry remained ummarried, as was the ancient tradition of the church, those who were unmarried when they took part in the communion of sacred orders, were required to remain so, but that those who were married, were not to send away their wives. Such was the advice of hand be expressed these sentiments although he has himself had no experience with marriage, and, to speak frankly, had no knowledge of women. For from boyhood he had been brought up in a monastery, and was especially famous for his chastity. 1.11.7 All the assembled clergy agreed with Paphnutius, although he was himself ummarried. In accordance with it, the Synod agreed with his counsel, enacted no law about it, but left the matter to the decision of individual judgment, and not to compulsion. The Synod, however, enacted other laws regulating the government of the Church; and these laws may easily be found, as they are in the possession of many individuals. The provided of the consubstantial with the Father and that those are to be excommunicated and voted aliens to the Catholic Church, tho assert that there was a time in which the Son existed not, and before He was begotten He was not, and that He was made from what had no existence, and that He is subject to change or mutation. 1.8.31 This creed was recognized and affirmed by three hundred and eighteen [bishops]; and because they were, as Eusebius says, unanimous in expression and sentiment, they signed it. Only five would not receive it, objecting to the term homoousios ["of the same essence," or consubstantial; these were Eusebius bishop of the creed and the the is of another hypostasis or substance from the Father, and that He is subject to change or mutation. 1.2.1.2 This decision was sanctioned by Eusebius, bishop of Nicaea; by Seythopolis; and by Secundus, bishop of Ptolemais in Libya. Eusebius Pamphilus, however, withheld his assent for a little while, but on further examination assented. 1.2.1.3 There were, however, a few, whom I men before,			1110000100
they took part in the communion of sacred orders, were required to remain so, but that those who were married, were himself had no experience with marriage, and, to speak frankly, had no knowledge of women. For from boyhood he had been brought up in a monastery, and was especially famous for his chastity. 1.11.7 All the assembled clergy agreed with Paphnutius's reasoning, and silenced all further debate on this issue, allowing married clergy to remain abstinent at their own discretion. So much concerning Paphnutius. Reception of the creed and the exile of dissenters 1.2.1.1 It ought to be known, that they affirmed the Son to be consubstantial with the Father and that those are to be excommunicated and voted aliens to the Catholic Church, who assert that there was a time in which the Son existed not, and before He was begotten He was not, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance from the Father, and that He is subject to change or mutation. 1.8.31 This creed was recognized and affirmed by three hundred and eighteen [bishops]; and because they were, as Eusebius says, unanimous in expression and sentiment, they signed it. Only five would not receive it, objecting to the term homoousels ["of the same essence," or consubstantial]; these were Eusebius bishop of consubstantial]; these were Eusebius bishop of consubstantial; these were Eusebius bishop of consubstantial bishop of facility which is now called frem to more of the creed and visit and the collaboration of the creed and the called from the communion of sacred orders, were required to remain absuit the substance from the decision of findividual judgment, and not to compulsion. The Synod, however, enacted other laws regulating the government of the Church; and these laws may easily be found, as they are in the possession of many individuals. The communication of the creed and the exile of dissenters 1.2.1.1 It ought to be known, that they affirmed the Son to be excommunicated and voted aliens to the Catholic Church, who assert that there was a ti			
whom they had married while still unordained. 1.11.6 And he expressed these sentiments although he himself had no experience with marriage, and, to speak frankly, had no knowledge of women. For from boyhood he had been brought up in a monastery, and was especially famous for his chastity. 1.11.7 All the assembled clergy agreed with Paphnutius's reasoning, and silenced all further debate on this issue, allowing married clergy to remain abstinent at their own discretion. So much concerning Paphnutius. **Reception of the creed and the exile of dissenters** Reception of the creed and the exile of dissenters** Reception of the creed and the exile of dissenters** Reception of the creed and the exile of dissenters** Reception of the creed and the exile of dissenter		they took part in the communion of sacred orders, were	
1.11.6 And he expressed these sentiments although he himself had no experience with marriage, and, to speak frankly, had no knowledge of women. For from boyhood he had been brought up in a monastery, and was especially famous for his chastity. 1.11.7 All the assembled clergy agreed with Paphnutius's reasoning, and silenced all further debate on this issue, allowing married clergy to remain abstinent at their own discretion. So much concerning Paphnutius. Reception of the creed and the exile of dissenters	church. Yet men should not be separated from wives		
himself had no experience with marriage, and, to speak frankly, had no knowledge of women. For from boyhood he had been brought up in a monastery, and was especially famous for his chastity. 1.11.7 All the assembled clergy agreed with Paphnutius's reasoning, and silenced all further debate on this issue, allowing married clergy to remain abstinent at their own discretion. So much concerning Paphnutius. Reception of the creed and the exile of dissenters 1.21.1 It ought to be known, that they affirmed the Son to be consubstantial with the Father and that those are to be excommunicated and voted aliens to the Catholic Church, who assert that there was a time in which the Son existed not, and before He was begotten He was not, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance from the Father, and that He is subject to change or mutation. 1.8.31 This creed was recognized and affirmed by three hundred and eighteen [bishops]; and because they were, as Eusebius says, unanimous in expression and sentiment, they signed it. Only five would not receive it, objecting to the term homoousios ["of the same essence," or consubstantial]: these were Eusebius bishop of formation assented. 1.8.12 This creed was recognized and affirmed by three bundred and eighteen [bishops]; and because they were, as Eusebius says, unanimous in expression and sentiment, they remained the subject to change or mutation. 1.8.21 Experience with int the Synd on the tended to the matter to the decision of individual judgment, and not to compulsion. The Synod, however, enacted other laws regulating the government of the Church; and these laws may easily be found, as they are in the possession of many individuals. 1.21.1 It ought to be known, that they affirmed the Son to be consubstantial with the Father and that those are to be excommunicated and voted aliens to the Catholic Church, who assert the was mot, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance from the Father, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance from the Father, and that			
himself had no experience with marriage, and, to speak frankly, had no knowledge of women. For from boyhood he had been brought up in a monastery, and was especially famous for his chastity. 1.11.7 All the assembled clergy agreed with Paphnutius's reasoning, and silenced all further debate on this issue, allowing married clergy to remain abstinent at their own discretion. So much concerning Paphnutius. Reception of the creed and the exile of dissenters 1.21.1 It ought to be known, that they affirmed the Son to be consubstantial with the Father and that those are to be excommunicated and voted aliens to the Catholic Church, who assert that there was a time in which the Son existed not, and before He was begotten He was not, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance from the Father, and that He is subject to change or mutation. 1.8.31 This creed was recognized and affirmed by three hundred and eighteen [bishops]; and because they were, as Eusebius says, unanimous in expression and sentiment, they signed it. Only five would not receive it, objecting to the term homoousios ["of the same essence," or consubstantial]: these were Eusebius bishop of formation assented. 1.8.12 This creed was recognized and affirmed by three bundred and eighteen [bishops]; and because they were, as Eusebius says, unanimous in expression and sentiment, they remained the subject to change or mutation. 1.8.21 Experience with int the Synd on the tended to the matter to the decision of individual judgment, and not to compulsion. The Synod, however, enacted other laws regulating the government of the Church; and these laws may easily be found, as they are in the possession of many individuals. 1.21.1 It ought to be known, that they affirmed the Son to be consubstantial with the Father and that those are to be excommunicated and voted aliens to the Catholic Church, who assert the was mot, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance from the Father, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance from the Father, and that	1.11.6 And he expressed these sentiments although he	of Paphnutius, although he was himself unmarried. In	
he had been brought up in a monastery, and was especially famous for his chastity. 1.11.7 All the assembled clergy agreed with Paphnutius's reasoning, and silenced all further debate on this issue, allowing married clergy to remain abstinent at their own discretion. So much concerning Paphnutius. Reception of the creed and the exile of dissenters 1.21.1 It ought to be known, that they affirmed the Son to be consubstantial with the Father and that those are to be excommunicated and voted aliens to the Catholic Church, who assert that there was a time in which the Son existed not, and before He was begotten He was not, and that He was made from what had no existence, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance from the Father, and that He is subject to change or mutation. 1.8.31 This creed was recognized and affirmed by three hundred and eighteen [bishops]; and because they were, as Eusebius says, unanimous in expression and sentiment, they signed it. Only five would not receive it, objecting to the term homoousios ["of the same essence," or consubstantial]: these were Eusebius bishop of consubstantial]: these were Eusebius bishop of of Neronias, which to consubstantial]: these were Eusebius bishop of of Peronias, which to consubstantial]: these were Eusebius bishop of the creed and the exile of dissenters 1.21.1 It ought to be known, that they affirmed the Son to be econsubstantial with the Father and that those are to be excommunicated and voted aliens to the Catholic Church, who assert that there was a time in which the Son existed not, and before He was begotten He was not, and that He is subject to change or mutation. 1.21.2 This decision was sanctioned by Eusebius, bishop of Nicaea; by Maris, bishop of Chalcedon; by Patrophilus, bishop of Seythopolis, Theognis, bish Nicaea, and Narcissus, bishop of Seythopolis, Theognis, bish Nicaea, and Narcissus, bishop of Nicaea, and Narcissus, bishop of Nicaea, and Narcissus, bishop of town of the second Cilicia, and is now called Irent	himself had no experience with marriage, and, to speak		
specially famous for his chastity. 1.11.7 All the assembled clergy agreed with Paphnutius's reasoning, and silenced all further debate on this issue, allowing married clergy to remain abstinent at their own discretion. So much concerning Paphnutius. Reception of the creed and the exile of dissenters 1.21.1 It ought to be known, that they affirmed the Son to be consubstantial with the Father and that those are to be excommunicated and voted aliens to the Catholic Church, who assert that there was a time in which the Son existed not, and before He was begotten He was not, and that He was made from what had no existence, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance from the Father, and that He is subject to change or mutation. 1.8.31 This creed was recognized and affirmed by three hundred and eighteen [bishops]; and because they were, as Eusebius says, unanimous in expression and sentiment, they signed it. Only five would not receive it, objecting to the term homoousios ["of the same essence," or consubstantial]: these were Eusebius bishop of consubstantial]: these were Eusebius bishop of of the creed and the exile of dissenters 1.2.1.1 It ought to be known, that they affirmed the Son to be consubstantial with the Father and that those are to be excommunicated and voted aliens to the Catholic Church, who assert that there was a time in which the Son existence, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance from the Father, and that He is subject to change or mutation. 1.8.31 This creed was recognized and affirmed by three hundred and eighteen [bishops]; and because they were, as Eusebius says, unanimous in expression and sentiment, they is a subject to change or mutation. 1.8.32 This creed was recognized and affirmed by three hundred and eighteen [bishops]; and because they were, as Eusebius says, unanimous in expression and sentiment, they is a subject to change or mutation. 1.8.31 This creed was recognized and affirmed by three hundred and eighteen [bishops]; and because they were, as Eusebius, b	frankly, had no knowledge of women. For from boyhood	enacted no law about it, but left the matter to the decision	
1.1.7 All the assembled clergy agreed with Paphnutius's reasoning, and silenced all further debate on this issue, allowing married clergy to remain abstinent at their own discretion. So much concerning Paphnutius. Reception of the creed and the exile of dissenters 1.21.1 It ought to be known, that they affirmed the Son to be consubstantial with the Father and that those are to be excommunicated and voted aliens to the Catholic Church, who assert that there was a time in which the Son existed not, and before He was begotten He was not, and that He was made from what had no existence, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance from the Father, and that He is subject to change or mutation. 1.8.31 This creed was recognized and affirmed by three hundred and eighteen [bishops]; and because they were, as Eusebius says, unanimous in expression and sentiment, they signed it. Only five would not receive it, objecting to the term homoousios ["of the same essence," or consubstantial]; these were Eusebius bishop of In the Church; and these laws may easily be found, as they are in the possession of many individuals. Reception of the creed and the exile of dissenters 1.21.1 It ought to be known, that they affirmed the Son to be exommunicated and voted aliens to the Catholic Church, who assert that there was a time in which the Son existed not, and before He was begotten He was not, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance from the Father, and that He is subject to change or mutation. 1.8.31 This creed was recognized and affirmed by three hundred and eighteen [bishops]; and because they were, as Eusebius says, unanimous in expression and sentiment, bishop of Nicomedia; by Theognis, bishop of Nicaea; by Maris, bishop of Nicaea; by Maris, bishop of Ptolemais in Libya. Eusebius Pamphilus, however, withheld his assent for a little while, but on further examination assented. In the creed and the exile of dissenters 1.2.1.1 It ought to be known, that they affirmed the Son to be consubstantially the Son to be			
Paphnutius's reasoning, and silenced all further debate on this issue, allowing married clergy to remain abstinent at their own discretion. So much concerning Paphnutius. Reception of the creed and the exile of dissenters 1.2.1. It ought to be known, that they affirmed the Son to be consubstantial with the Father and that those are to be excommunicated and voted aliens to the Catholic Church, who assert that there was a time in which the Son existed not, and before He was begotten He was not, and that He was made from what had no existence, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance from the Father, and that He is subject to change or mutation. 1.8.31 This creed was recognized and affirmed by three hundred and eighteen [bishops]; and because they were, as Eusebius says, unanimous in expression and sentiment, they signed it. Only five would not receive it, objecting to the term homoousios ["of the same essence," or consubstantial]: these were Eusebius bishop of Consubstantial in the possession of many individuals. Consubstantial the exile of dissenters 1.2.1.1 It ought to be known, that they affirmed the Son to be consubstantial with the Father and that those are to be excommunicated and voted aliens to the Catholic Church, who assert that there was a time in which the Son existence, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance from the Father, and that He is subject to change or mutation. 1.2.1.2 This decision was sanctioned by Eusebius, bishop of Nicaea; by Maris, bishop of Nicaea; by Maris, bishop of Seythopolis; and by Secundus, bishop of Polemais in Libya. Eusebius Pamphilus, however, withheld his assent for a l	especially famous for his chastity.		
this issue, allowing married clergy to remain abstinent at their own discretion. So much concerning Paphnutius. Reception of the creed and the exile of dissenters 1.21.1 It ought to be known, that they affirmed the Son to be consubstantial with the Father and that those are to be excommunicated and voted aliens to the Catholic Church, who assert that there was a time in which the Son existed not, and before He was begotten He was not, and that He is another hypostasis or substance from the Father, and that He is subject to change or mutation. 1.8.31 This creed was recognized and affirmed by three hundred and eighteen [bishops]; and because they were, as Eusebius says, unanimous in expression and sentiment, they signed it. Only five would not receive it, objecting to the term homoousios ["of the same essence," or consubstantial]: these were Eusebius bishop of Chalecedon; by and by Secundus, bishop of Ptolemais in Libya. Eusebius Pamphilus, however, withheld his assent for a little while, but on further examination assented.			
Reception of the creed and the exile of dissenters 1.21.1 It ought to be known, that they affirmed the Son to be consubstantial with the Father and that those are to be excommunicated and voted aliens to the Catholic Church, who assert that there was a time in which the Son existed not, and before He was begotten He was not, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance from the Father, and that He is subject to change or mutation. 1.8.31 This creed was recognized and affirmed by three hundred and eighteen [bishops]; and because they were, as Eusebius says, unanimous in expression and sentiment, they signed it. Only five would not receive it, objecting to the term homoousios ("of the same essence," or consubstantial]: these were Eusebius bishop of 1.21.2 This decision was sanctioned by Eusebius, bishop of Nicaea; by Maris, bishop of Nicomedia; by Theognis, bishop of Nicaea; by Maris, bishop of Chalcedon; by Patrophilus, bishop of Seythopolis, Theognis, bishop of Seythopolis, Theognis, bishop of Seythopolis, Theognis, bishop of Seythopolis, and by Secundus, bishop of Polemais in Libya. Eusebius Pamphilus, however, withheld his assent for a little while, but on further examination assented.		found, as they are in the possession of many individuals.	
Reception of the creed and the exile of dissenters 1.21.1 It ought to be known, that they affirmed the Son to be consubstantial with the Father and that those are to be excommunicated and voted aliens to the Catholic Church, who assert that there was a time in which the Son existed not, and before He was begotten He was not, and that He was made from what had no existence, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance from the Father, and that He is subject to change or mutation. 1.8.31 This creed was recognized and affirmed by three hundred and eighteen [bishops]; and because they were, as Eusebius says, unanimous in expression and sentiment, they signed it. Only five would not receive it, objecting to the term homoousios ["of the same essence," or consubstantial]: these were Eusebius bishop of I.21.2 This decision was sanctioned by Eusebius, bishop of Nicaea; by Maris, bishop of Chalcedon; by Patrophilus, bishop of Seythopolis; and by Secundus, bishop of Ptolemais in Libya. Eusebius Pamphilus, however, withheld his assent for a little while, but on further examination assented.			
1.21.1 It ought to be known, that they affirmed the Son to be consubstantial with the Father and that those are to be excommunicated and voted aliens to the Catholic Church, who assert that there was a time in which the Son existed not, and before He was begotten He was not, and that He was made from what had no existence, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance from the Father, and that He is subject to change or mutation. 1.8.31 This creed was recognized and affirmed by three hundred and eighteen [bishops]; and because they were, as Eusebius says, unanimous in expression and sentiment, they signed it. Only five would not receive it, objecting to the term homoousios ["of the same essence," or consubstantial]: these were Eusebius bishop of	<u> </u>		
to be consubstantial with the Father and that those are to be excommunicated and voted aliens to the Catholic Church, who assert that there was a time in which the Son existed not, and before He was begotten He was not, and that He was made from what had no existence, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance from the Father, and that He is subject to change or mutation. 1.8.31 This creed was recognized and affirmed by three hundred and eighteen [bishops]; and because they were, as Eusebius says, unanimous in expression and sentiment, they signed it. Only five would not receive it, objecting to the term homoousios ["of the same essence," or consubstantial]: these were Eusebius bishop of the same essence, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance from the Father, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance from the Father, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance from the Father, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance from the Father, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance from the Father, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance from the Father, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance from the Father, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance from the Father, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance from the Father, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance from the Father, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance from the Father, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance from the Father, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance from the Father, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance from the Father, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance from the Father, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance from the Father, and that He is of another hypostasis or substan	J	Reception of the creed and the exile of dissenters	S
be excommunicated and voted aliens to the Catholic Church, who assert that there was a time in which the Son existed not, and before He was begotten He was not, and that He was made from what had no existence, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance from the Father, and that He is subject to change or mutation. 1.8.31 This creed was recognized and affirmed by three hundred and eighteen [bishops]; and because they were, as Eusebius says, unanimous in expression and sentiment, they signed it. Only five would not receive it, objecting to the term homoousios ["of the same essence," or consubstantial]: these were Eusebius bishop of Scythopolis; and by Secundus, bishop of Ptolemais in Libya. Eusebius Pamphilus, however, withheld his assent for a little while, but on further examination assented. be excommunicated and voted aliens to the Catholic Church, who assert that there was a time in which the Son existed not, and before He was begotten He was not, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance from the Father, and that He is subject to change or mutation. 1.21.2 This decision was sanctioned by Eusebius, bishop of Nicaea; by Maris, bishop of Chalcedon; by Patrophilus, bishop of Scythopolis, Theognis, bishop of Scythopolis; and by Secundus, bishop of Pollemais in Libya. Eusebius Pamphilus, however, withheld his assent for a little while, but on further examination assented.		1.21.1 It ought to be known, that they affirmed the Son	
Church, who assert that there was a time in which the Son existed not, and before He was begotten He was not, and that He was made from what had no existence, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance from the Father, and that He is subject to change or mutation. 1.8.31 This creed was recognized and affirmed by three hundred and eighteen [bishops]; and because they were, as Eusebius says, unanimous in expression and sentiment, they signed it. Only five would not receive it, objecting to the term homoousios ["of the same essence," or consubstantial]: these were Eusebius bishop of Church, who assert that there was a time in which the Son existed not, and before He was begotten He was not, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance from the Father, and that He is subject to change or mutation. 1.21.2 This decision was sanctioned by Eusebius, bishop of Nicaea; by Maris, bishop of Nicomedia; by Theognis, bishop of Scythopolis; and by Secundus, bishop of Ptolemais in Libya. Eusebius Pamphilus, however, withheld his assent for a little while, but on further examination assented.		to be consubstantial with the Father and that those are to	
existed not, and before He was begotten He was not, and that He was made from what had no existence, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance from the Father, and that He is subject to change or mutation. 1.8.31 This creed was recognized and affirmed by three hundred and eighteen [bishops]; and because they were, as Eusebius says, unanimous in expression and sentiment, they signed it. Only five would not receive it, objecting to the term homoousios ["of the same essence," or consubstantial]: these were Eusebius bishop of		be excommunicated and voted aliens to the Catholic	
that He was made from what had no existence, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance from the Father, and that He is subject to change or mutation. 1.8.31 This creed was recognized and affirmed by three hundred and eighteen [bishops]; and because they were, as Eusebius says, unanimous in expression and sentiment, they signed it. Only five would not receive it, objecting to that He was made from what had no existence, and that He is of another hypostasis or substance from the Father, and that He is subject to change or mutation. 1.21.2 This decision was sanctioned by Eusebius, bishop of Nicaea; by Maris, bishop of Chalcedon; by Patrophilus, bishop of Scythopolis; and by Secundus, bishop of Ptolemais in Libya. Eusebius Pamphilus, however, withheld his assent for a little while, but on further examination assented.		Church, who assert that there was a time in which the Son	
He is of another hypostasis or substance from the Father, and that He is subject to change or mutation. 1.8.31 This creed was recognized and affirmed by three hundred and eighteen [bishops]; and because they were, as Eusebius says, unanimous in expression and sentiment, they signed it. Only five would not receive it, objecting to the term homoousios ["of the same essence," or consubstantial]: these were Eusebius bishop of the same essence, or consubstantial]: these were Eusebius bishop of the same essence, or consubstantial]: these were Eusebius bishop of the same essence, or consubstantial]: these were Eusebius bishop of the same essence, or consubstantial]: these were Eusebius bishop of the same essence, or consubstantial]: these were Eusebius bishop of the second Cilicia, and is now called Irent to change or mutation. 1.21.2 This decision was sanctioned by Eusebius, bishop of Nicaea; by Maris, bishop of Nicaea; by Maris, bishop of Chalcedon; by Patrophilus, bishop of Scythopolis, Theognis, bishop of Ptolemais in Libya. Eusebius Pamphilus, however, withheld his assent for a little while, but on further examination assented.			
1.8.31 This creed was recognized and affirmed by three hundred and eighteen [bishops]; and because they were, as Eusebius says, unanimous in expression and sentiment, they signed it. Only five would not receive it, objecting to the term homoousios ["of the same essence," or consubstantial]: these were Eusebius bishop of the same essence and that He is subject to change or mutation. 1.2.1.2 This decision was sanctioned by Eusebius, bishop of Nicaea; by Maris, bishop of Chalcedon; by Patrophilus, bishop of Scythopolis; and by Secundus, bishop of Ptolemais in Libya. Eusebius Pamphilus, however, withheld his assent for a little while, but on further examination assented. 1.7.13 There were, however, a few, whom I ment before, who opposed these doctrines and sided with among them were Menophantus, bishop of Scythopolis; Theognis, bishop of Scythopolis, Theognis, bishop of Nicaea, and Narcissus, bishop of Nicaea, and Nicaea, an			
1.8.31 This creed was recognized and affirmed by three hundred and eighteen [bishops]; and because they were, as Eusebius says, unanimous in expression and sentiment, they signed it. Only five would not receive it, objecting to the term homoousios ["of the same essence," or consubstantial]: these were Eusebius bishop of the same essence and sentiment of a little while, but on further examination assented. 1.21.2 This decision was sanctioned by Eusebius, bishop of Nicaea; by Maris, bishop of Nicaea; by Patrophilus, bishop of Scythopolis, Theognis, bishop of Ptolemais in Libya. Eusebius Pamphilus, however, withheld his assent for a little while, but on further examination assented.			
hundred and eighteen [bishops]; and because they were, as Eusebius says, unanimous in expression and sentiment, they signed it. Only five would not receive it, objecting to the term homoousios ["of the same essence," or consubstantial]: these were Eusebius bishop of the same essence is consubstantial]: these were Eusebius bishop of the same essence is consubstantial]: these were Eusebius bishop of Nicomedia; by Theognis, bishop of Nicaea; by Maris, bishop of Chalcedon; by Patrophilus, bishop of Ptolemais in Libya. Eusebius Pamphilus, however, withheld his assent for a little while, but on further examination assented. bishop of Nicaea; by Maris, bishop of Scythopolis, Theognis, bishop of Ptolemais in Libya. Eusebius Pamphilus, however, withheld his assent town of the second Cilicia, and is now called Irent town of the second Cilicia, and is now called Irent town of the second Cilicia, and is now called Irent town of the second Cilicia, and is now called Irent town of the second Cilicia, and is now called Irent town of the second Cilicia, and is now called Irent town of the second Cilicia, and is now called Irent town of the second Cilicia, and is now called Irent town of the second Cilicia, and is now called Irent town of the second Cilicia, and is now called Irent town of the second Cilicia, and is now called Irent town of the second Cilicia, and is now called Irent town of the second Cilicia, and is now called Irent town of the second Cilicia, and is now called Irent town of the second Cilicia, and is now called Irent town of the second Cilicia, and is now called Irent town of the second Cilicia, and is now called Irent town of the second Cilicia.		and that He is subject to change or mutation.	
hundred and eighteen [bishops]; and because they were, as Eusebius says, unanimous in expression and sentiment, they signed it. Only five would not receive it, objecting to the term homoousios ["of the same essence," or consubstantial]: these were Eusebius bishop of the same essence is consubstantial]: these were Eusebius bishop of the same essence." bishop of Nicomedia; by Theognis, bishop of Nicaea; by Maris, bishop of Chalcedon; by Patrophilus, bishop of Ptolemais in Libya. Eusebius Pamphilus, however, withheld his assent for a little while, but on further examination assented. before, who opposed these doctrines and sided wit among them were Menophantus, bishop of Scythopolis, Theognis, bishop of Nicaea; and Narcissus, bishop of Scythopolis, Theognis, bishop of Nicaea, and Narcissus, bishop of Nicaea, and Narcissus, bishop of Scythopolis, Theognis, bishop of Nicaea, and Narcissus, bishop of Scythopolis, Theognis, bishop of Nicaea, and Narcissus, bishop of Scythopolis, Theognis, bishop of Nicaea, and Narcissus, bishop of Scythopolis, Theognis, bishop of Nicaea, and Narcissus, bishop of Nicaea, and Ni	1 9 21 This aread was reasonized and offirmed by three	1 21 2 This decision was constianed by Eugebius	1.7.12 There were however a few whem I mentioned
as Eusebius says, unanimous in expression and sentiment, they signed it. Only five would not receive it, objecting to the term homoousios ["of the same essence," or consubstantial]: these were Eusebius bishop of they signed it. Only five would not receive it, objecting to the term homoousios ["of the same essence," or consubstantial]: these were Eusebius bishop of they signed it. Only five would not receive it, objecting to be the signed it.			
they signed it. Only five would not receive it, objecting to the term homoousios ["of the same essence," or consubstantial]: these were Eusebius bishop of the same essence." Scythopolis; and by Secundus, bishop of Ptolemais in Libya. Eusebius Pamphilus, however, withheld his assent for a little while, but on further examination assented.			
the term homoousios ["of the same essence," or consubstantial]: these were Eusebius bishop of Libya. Eusebius Pamphilus, however, withheld his assent for a little while, but on further examination assented. Nicaea, and Narcissus, bishop of Neronias, which town of the second Cilicia, and is now called Irence town of the second Cilicia, and is now called Irence town of the second Cilicia.			
consubstantial]: these were Eusebius bishop of for a little while, but on further examination assented.			
Nicomedia Theographic of Nice Maris of Chalcedon and Secundus	Nicomedia, Theognis of Nice, Maris of Chalcedon,	for a fittie wiffie, but off further examination assemed.	also Theonas, bishop of Marmarica, and Secundus, bishop
Theonas of Marmarica, and Secundus of Ptolemaïs. and Secundus of Ptolemaïs of Ptolemaïs.			
1.8.32 "For," they said, "something that is 1.7.14 They drew up a formulation of their faith			1.7.14 They drew up a formulation of their faith and
			presented it to the council. As soon as it was read, it was
			torn to pieces and was declared to be spurious and false.
			Such a great uproar was raised against them and they were
			rebukes so many times for betraying their religion that all
			of them, with the exception of Secundus and Theonas,
modes." Therefore, they declared themselves unable to			or men, the enception of secureus and Theolius,

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
assent to this creed. Those, then, who scoffed at the term		became afraid and stood up and took the lead in publicly
consubstantial would not subscribe to the deposition of		renouncing Arius.
Arius.		
1.8.33 When they had heard this, the Synod anathematized Arius and all who adhered to his opinions and prohibited Arius from entering. At the same time an edict of the emperor sent Arius himself into exile, together with Eusebius and Theognis and their followers;	1.21.3 The council excommunicated Arius and his adherents, and prohibited his entering Alexandria. The words in which his opinions were couched were likewise condemned, and also a work entitled "Thalia," which he had written on the subject. I have not read this book, but I understand that it is of a loose character, resembling Sotadus in licentiousness. It ought to be known that although Eusebius, bishop of Nicomedia, and Theognis, bishop of Nicaea, assented to the document of this faith set forth by the council, they neither agreed nor subscribed to the deposition of Arius.	1.7.15 In this way the ungodly man was expelled, and, with unanimous agreement, an official confession of faith was drawn up. To this day, it is still received by the churches. As soon as it was signed, the council was dissolved. The bishops named above, however, did not sincerely consent to it; only in appearance.
1.8.34a Eusebius and Theognis, however, a short time after their banishment, delivered a written declaration of their change of opinion and agreement with the faith of the <i>consubstantiality</i> of the Son with the Father, as we will show in what follows here.	1.21.4 The emperor punished Arius with exile, and dispatched edicts to the bishops and people of every country, denouncing him and his adherents as ungodly, and commanding that their books should be destroyed, in order that no remembrance of him or of the doctrine which he had taught might remain. Whoever was found hiding his writings and who did not burn them immediately on the accusation, would undergo the penalty of death and suffer capital punishment. The emperor wrote letters to every city against Arius and those who had received his doctrines. 1.21.5 He commanded Eusebius and Theognis to leave the cities in which they were bishops; he addressed himself in particular to the church of Nicomedia, urging it to adhere to the faith which had been set forth by the council, to elect orthodox bishops, to obey them, and to let the past fall into oblivion. He threatened with punishment those who should venture to speak well of the exiled bishops or to adopt their sentiments. In these and in other letters, he made clear his resentment against Eusebius, because he had previously adopted the opinions of the tyrant, and had engaged in his plots. In accordance with the imperial edicts, Eusebius and Theognis were expelled from the churches which they held, and	1.7.16 This was shown later by their plotting against those who were champions of zeal for the religion, as well as by what the following have written about them. 1.7.17 For instance, Eustathius, the famous bishop of Antioch, who has been already mentioned, when explaining the text in the Proverbs, 'The Lord created me in the beginning of His way, before His works of old,' wrote against them, and refuted their blasphemy. Athanasius' treatise also agrees with this refutation from the great Eustathius.

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
	Amphion received that of Nicomedia, and Chrestus that	
	of Nicaea	
Eusebius	of Caesarea's letter explaining his acceptance o	f the creed
1.8.34b At this time during the session of the Synod,		1.11.7 I will insert here the letter concerning the faith,
Eusebius, surnamed Pamphilus, bishop of Caesarea in		written by Eusebius, bishop of Caesarea. It describes the
Palestine, after listening attentively for a short time and		indecency of the Arians, who not only despise our fathers,
carefully considering whether he ought to receive this		but reject their own. It contains a convincing proof of their
definition of the faith, finally consented to it and		madness.
subscribed to it with all the rest. He also sent to the		1.11.8 For even though they honor Eusebius as having
people under his charge a copy of the Creed, with an		the same opinions as them, they openly contradict his
explanation of the word homoousios, so that no one		writings. He wrote this epistle to some of the Arians, who
would suspect his motives on account of his previous		were accusing him, it seems, of treachery. The letter itself
hesitation.		explains the writer's purpose.
Now this is what was written by Eusebius in his own		Epistle of Eusebius, Bishop of Caesarea, which he wrote
words:		from Nicaea when the great Council was assembled.
1.8.35 Beloved, since rumors usually travel faster than		1.12.1 Beloved, since rumors usually travel faster than
accurate information, you have probably learned from		accurate information, you have probably learned from
other sources what happened concerning the church's		other sources what happened concerning the church's faith
faith at the Great Council assembled at Nicaea.		at the Great Council assembled at Nicaea. As we do not
1.8.36 As we do not want the facts to be misrepresented		want the facts to be misrepresented by such reports, we
by such reports, we have been obliged to transmit to you,		have been obliged to transmit to you, first, the formula of
first, the formula of faith which we ourselves [i.e.		faith which we ourselves [i.e. Eusebius] presented, and
Eusebius] presented, and next, the second, which the		next, the second, which the assembled fathers put forth
assembled fathers put forth with some additions to our		with some additions to our words.
words.		1.12.2 Our own letter, which was read in the presence of
1.8.37 Our own letter, which was read in the presence		our most pious Emperor and declared to be good and free
of our most pious Emperor and declared to be good and		from objectionable statements, reads as follows:
free from objectionable statements, reads as follows:		1.12.3 "We report now to you our faith, which we have
We report now to you our faith, which we have received		received from the bishops who preceded us when we were
from the bishops who preceded us when we were first		first instructed and received the washing [of baptism],
instructed and received the washing [of baptism], which		which we have also come to know from the divine
we have also come to know from the divine Scriptures; as		Scriptures; as we believed and taught in the priesthood,
we believed and taught in the priesthood, and in the		and in the episcopate itself, and as we also believe at the
episcopate itself, and as we also believe at the present		present time:
time:		1.12.4 "We believe in one God, the Father Almighty, the
1.8.38 'We believe in one God, the Father Almighty, the Maker of all things visible and invisible. And in one Lord		Maker of all things visible and invisible. And in one Lord
Jesus Christ, the Word of God, God from God, Light from		Jesus Christ, the Word of God, God from God, Light from
Light, Life from Life, Only-begotten Son, first-born of		Light, Life from Life, Only-begotten Son, first-born of every creature, begotten from the Father before all the
Light, Life from Life, Only-begotten Son, first-born of		every creature, begotten from the rather before all the

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
every creature, begotten from the Father before all the		ages, by whom also all things were made; who for our
ages, by whom also all things were made; who for our		salvation was made flesh, and lived among men, and
salvation was made flesh, and lived among men, and		suffered, and rose again the third day, and ascended to the
suffered, and rose again the third day, and ascended to the		Father, and will come again in glory to judge the living
Father, and will come again in glory to judge the living		and the dead. And we believe also in one Holy Spirit.
and the dead.		1.12.5 We believe each of these to be and to exist, the
1.8.39 And we believe also in one Holy Spirit. We		Father truly Father, and the Son truly Son, and the Holy
believe each of these to be and to exist, the Father truly		Spirit truly Holy Spirit, as also our Lord said when he sent
Father, and the Son truly Son, and the Holy Spirit truly		forth his disciples to preach, "Go teach all nations,
Holy Spirit, as also our Lord said when he sent forth his		baptizing them in the name of the Father and of the Son,
disciples to preach, "Go teach all nations, baptizing them		and of the Holy Spirit." Concerning which things we
in the name of the Father and of the Son, and of the Holy		confidently affirm that this is what we maintain, how we
Spirit."		think, and what we have held up until now, and that we
1.8.40 Concerning which things we confidently affirm		will maintain this faith unto death, anathematizing every
that this is what we maintain, how we think, and what we		ungodly heresy.
have held up until now, and that we will maintain this		1.12.6 We testify that we have ever thought these things
faith unto death, anathematizing every ungodly heresy.		from our hearts and souls, from earliest memory, and now
We testify that we have ever thought these things from		think and confess the truth before God Almighty and our
our hearts and souls, from earliest memory, and now think		Lord Jesus Christ. We are able to provide evidence that
and confess the truth before God Almighty and our Lord		will assure you that even in times past we have believed
Jesus Christ. We are able to provide evidence that will		and preached the same."
assure you that even in times past we have believed and		1.12.7 There was nothing to contradict in this statement
preached the same.'		of faith we put forward. In fact our most pious Emperor,
1.8.41 There was nothing to contradict in this statement		before any one else, testified that it was comprised of
of faith we put forward. In fact our most pious Emperor,		most orthodox statements. He even confessed that such
before any one else, testified that it was comprised of		were his own sentiments, and he advised all present to
most orthodox statements. He even confessed that such		agree to it, and to subscribe and agree with its articles,
were his own sentiments, and he advised all present to		with the insertion of the single word, "of the same being
agree to it, and to subscribe and agree with its articles,		as" (homoousios). He gave his interpretation of this word,
with the insertion of the single word, "of the same being		saying that " <the son=""> was not "of the same being as"</the>
as" (homoousios).		according to what we experience in our bodies, as if the
1.8.42 He gave his interpretation of this word, saying		Son had come to be by dividing or breaking off from the
that "'the Son' was not "of the same being as" according		Father. For his nature could not be subjected to any bodily
to what we experience in our bodies, as if the Son had		experiences, as it does not consist of matter, exists in a
come to be by dividing or breaking off from the Father.		spiritual realm, has no body. Therefore such things must
For his nature could not be subjected to any bodily		be thought of in divine, unspeakable concepts." Such were
experiences, as it does not consist of matter, exists in a		the theological remarks of our most wise and most pious
spiritual realm, has no body. Therefore such things must		Emperor; but they were intent on adding the word "of the
be thought of in divine, unspeakable concepts."		same being as" and drew up the following statement:

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
1.8.43 Such were the theological remarks of our most		
wise and most pious Emperor; but they were intent on		1.12.8 "We believe in one God, the Father Almighty,
adding the word "of the same being as" and drew up the		Maker of all things visible and invisible: and in one Lord
following statement:		Jesus Christ, the Son of God, begotten of the Father, Only-
		begotten, that is, from the essence of the Father; God from
1.8.44 'We believe in one God, the Father Almighty,		God, Light from Light, true God from true God, begotten
Maker of all things visible and invisible: and in one Lord		not made, of the same being as the Father, by whom all
Jesus Christ, the Son of God, begotten of the Father,		things were made, both things in heaven and things on
Only-begotten, that is, from the essence of the Father;		earth; who for us men and for our salvation came down
God from God, Light from Light, true God from true		and was made flesh, was made man, suffered, and rose
God, begotten not made, of the same being as the Father,		again the third day, ascended into heaven, and will come
by whom all things were made, both things in heaven and		to judge the living and the dead; and we believe in the
things on earth; who for us men and for our salvation		Holy Spirit. But those who say, 'Once he did not exist,'
came down and was made flesh, was made man, suffered,		and 'He did not exist before he was begotten,' and 'He
and rose again the third day, ascended into heaven, and		came to be from nothing,' or those who pretend that the
will come to judge the living and the dead; and we		Son of God is 'of another subsistence [hypostasis] or
believe in the Holy Spirit.		being [ousia], or 'created' [ktistos], or 'alterable'
1.8.45 But those who say, 'Once he did not exist,' and		[treptos], or 'changeable' [alloiōtos], the catholic church
'He did not exist before he was begotten,' and 'He came		anathematizes."
to be from nothing,' or those who pretend that the Son of		1.12.9 As this formula was being debated, we made sure
God is 'of another subsistence [hypostasis] or being		to inquire in what sense they introduced "from the essence
[ousia], 'or 'created' [ktistos], or 'alterable' [treptos], or		of the Father," and "of the same being as the Father."
'changeable' [alloiōtos], the catholic church		Through intense questioning and explaining, the meaning
anathematizes."		of the words was examined closely. They explained that
1.8.46 As this formula was being debated, we made		the phrase "of the same being as" indicated that the Son is
sure to inquire in what sense they introduced "from the		truly from the Father, but he is not a part of him.
essence of the Father," and "of the same being as the		1.12.10 We felt we could agree to this word when used
Father."		in this sense, to teach, as it did, that the Son was from the
1.8.47 Through intense questioning and explaining, the		Father, not however a part of his essence. On this account
meaning of the words was examined closely. They		we agreed to the sense ourselves, without denying even
explained that the phrase "of the same being as" indicated		the term "of the same being as," since maintaining peace
that the Son is truly from the Father, but he is not a part of		was our goal, provided we did not depart from the
him. We felt we could agree to this word when used in		orthodox understanding.
this sense, to teach, as it did, that the Son was from the		1.12.11 In the same way we also accepted the phrase
Father, not however a part of his essence.		"begotten, not made," since the council asserted that
1.8.48 On this account we agreed to the sense		"made" [poiētos] was a term used to designate other
ourselves, without denying even the term "of the same		creatures which came to be through the Son, to whom the
being as," since maintaining peace was our goal, provided		Son had no similarity. So according to their reasoning, he
we did not depart from the orthodox understanding.		was not something made that resembled the things which

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
1.8.49 In the same way we also accepted the phrase		came to exist through him, but was of an essence which is
"begotten, not made," since the council asserted that		too high to be put on the same level as anything which
"made" [poiētos] was a term used to designate other		was made. The divine sayings teach us that his essence
creatures which came to be through the Son, to whom the		was begotten from the Father, and that the mode of his
Son had no similarity. So according to their reasoning, he		being begotten is inexpressible and unable to be conceived
was not something made that resembled the things which		by any nature which has had a beginning of its existence.
came to exist through him, but was of an essence which is		1.12.12 So when we considered it, we found that there
too high to be put on the same level as anything which		are grounds for saying that the Son is "of the same being
was made. The divine sayings teach us that his essence		as" the Father; not like human bodies, nor like mortal
was begotten from the Father, and that the mode of his		beings, for he is not "of the same being as" by dividing his
being begotten is inexpressible and unable to be		essence, or by cutting something off, or by having
conceived by any nature which has had a beginning of its		something done to him, or being altered, or by changing
existence.		the Father's essence and power (since the Father's nature
1.8.50 So when we considered it, we found that there		has no beginning to its existence, and therefore none of
are grounds for saying that the Son is "of the same being		those descriptions apply to it).
as" the Father; not like human bodies, nor like mortal		1.12.13 "Of the same being as the Father" suggests that
beings, for he is not "of the same being as" by dividing		the Son of God bears no resemblance to the creatures who
his essence, or by cutting something off, or by having		came into being, but that he is in every way similar to his
something done to him, or being altered, or by changing		Father alone who begat him, and that he is not of any
the Father's essence and power (since the Father's nature		other subsistence (hypostasis) and essence (ousia), but
has no beginning to its existence, and therefore none of		from the Father. It also seemed good for us to agree to this
those descriptions apply to it). "Of the same being as the		term, since we were aware that even among the ancients,
Father" suggests that the Son of God bears no		some learned and eminent bishops and writers have used
resemblance to the creatures who came into being, but		the term "of the same being as," in their theological
that he is in every way similar to his Father alone who		teaching concerning the Father and Son.
begat him, and that he is not of any other subsistence		1.12.14 So much then for the creed which was
(hypostasis) and essence (ousia), but from the Father.		composed at the council, to which all of us agreed, not
1.8.51 It also seemed good for us to agree to this term,		without some questioning, but according to a specific
since we were aware that even among the ancients, some		sense, brought up before the most pious Emperor himself,
learned and eminent bishops and writers have used the		and qualified by the considerations mentioned above.
term "of the same being as," in their theological teaching		1.12.15 As far as the condemnation they attached to the
concerning the Father and Son.		end of the creed, it did not cause us pain, because it forbad
1.8.52 So much then for the creed which was composed		the use of words not found in Scripture, from which
at the council, to which all of us agreed, not without some		almost all the confusion and disorder in the Church have
questioning, but according to a specific sense, brought up		come. Since then no divinely inspired Scripture has used
before the most pious Emperor himself, and qualified by		the phrases, "out of nothing," and "once he was not," and
the considerations mentioned above. As far as the		the rest which follow, there appeared no ground for using
condemnation they attached to the end of the creed, it did		or teaching them. We think that this was a good decision,
not cause us pain, because it forbad the use of words not		since it has never been our custom to use these terms.

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
found in Scripture, from which almost all the confusion and disorder in the Church have come. 1.8.53 Since then no divinely inspired Scripture has used the phrases, "out of nothing," and "once he was not," and the rest which follow, there appeared no ground for using or teaching them. We think that this was a good decision, since it has never been our custom to use these		
terms. ²		1.12.16 Additionally, it did not seem out of place to condemn the statement "Before he was begotten he did not exist," because everyone confesses that the Son of God existed before he was begotten according to the flesh. 1.12.17 At this point in the discussion, our most pious Emperor maintained that the Son existed before all ages even according to his divinely inspired begetting, since even before the act of begetting was performed, in potentiality he was with the Father, even before he was begotten by him, since the Father is always Father, just as he is always King and always Savior; he has the potentiality to be all things, and remains exactly the same forever.
1.8.54 We deemed it necessary for us, beloved, to inform you of the care which has characterized both our examination of and unanimity in these things, that on justifiable grounds we resisted to the last moment the introduction of certain objectionable expressions as long as these were not acceptable. We received them without dispute, when on mature deliberation as we examined the sense of the words they appeared to agree with what we had originally proposed as a sound confession of faith.		1.12.18 We had to pass this on to you, beloved, to make sure our deliberation, our questions, and our ultimate agreement, was clear to you. You see how reasonably we resisted even to the last minute as long as we were offended at statements which differed from our own. But when a candid examination of the sense of the words was conducted, we accepted without contention what no longer pained us, since they appeared to us to be in harmony with what we ourselves have professed in the faith which we have already declared.
1.8.55a Such was the letter addressed by Eusebius Pamphilus to the Christians at Caesarea in Palestine.		
*	Arians taken from writings o	f Eusebius of Caesarea
The grant of the control of the cont		1.13.1 Eusebius clearly testifies that the aforesaid term "consubstantial" is not a new one, nor the invention of the

 $^2 \ Socrates \ 1.8.35-54a \ and \ Theodoret \ 1.12.1-15 \ are \ almost \ identical \ Greek \ text. \ Cf. \ \underline{http://www.fourthcentury.com/index.php/urkunde-22}.$

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
		fathers assembled at the council; but that, from the very
		first it has been handed down from father to son. He states
		that all those then assembled unanimously received the
		creed then published; and he again bears testimony to the
		same fact in another work, in which he highly extols the
		conduct of the great Constantine. He writes as follows:
		1.13.2 The emperor having delivered this discourse in
		Latin, it was translated into Greek by an interpreter, and
		then he gave liberty of speech to the leaders of the
		council. Some at once began to bring forward complaints
		against their neighbours, while others had recourse to
		recriminations and reproaches. Each party had much to
		urge, and at the beginning the debate waxed very violent.
		The emperor patiently and attentively listened to all that
		was advanced, and gave furl attention to what was urged
		by each party in turn. He calmly endeavoured to reconcile
		the conflicting parties; addressing them mildly in Greek,
		of which language he was not ignorant, in a sweet and
		gentle manner. Some he convinced by argument, others he
		put to the blush; he commended those who had spoken
		well, and excited all to unanimity; until, at length, he
		reduced them all to oneness of mind and opinion on all the
		disputed points, so that they all agreed to hold the same
		faith, and to celebrate the festival of Salvation upon the
		same day. What had been decided was committed to
		writing, and was signed by all the bishops."
		1.13.3 Soon after the author thus continues the narrative:
		When matters had been thus arranged, the emperor gave
		them permission to return to their own dioceses. They
		returned with great joy, and have ever since continued to be of the one opinion, agreed upon in the presence of the
		emperor, and, though once widely separated, now united
		together, as it were, in one body. 1.13.4 Constantine, rejoicing in the success of his
		efforts, made known these happy results by letter to those
		who were at a distance. He ordered large sums of money
		to be liberally distributed both among the inhabitants of
		the country and of the cities, in order that the twentieth
		the country and of the cities, in order that the twentieth

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret anniversary of his reign might be celebrated with public festivities." 1.13.5 Although the Arians impiously gainsay the statements of the other fathers, yet they ought to believe what has been written by this father, whom they have been accustomed to admire. They ought, therefore, to receive his testimony to the unanimity with which the confession of faith was signed by all. But, since they impugn the opinions of their own leaders, they ought to become acquainted with the most foul and terrible manner of the death of Arius and with all their powers to flee from the
		impious doctrine of which he was the parent. As it is likely that the mode of his death is not known by all, I shall here relate it.
	Athanasius' account of the council at Nicaea	[Continued in Harmony 1.7]
	Athanasius account of the council at incaca	1.8.6b Athanasius, his fellow combatant, the champion of the truth, who succeeded the celebrated Alexander in the episcopate, added the following in a letter addressed to the Africans.
		1.8.7 "The bishops convened in council to refute the impious assertions invented by the Arians, that the Son was created out of what was non-existent, that He is a creature and created being, that there was a period in which He was not, and that He is changeable by nature. In accordance with the holy Scriptures, they agreed to write that the Son is by nature only-begotten of God, Word, Power, and sole Wisdom of the Father; that He is, as John said, 'the true God,' and, as Paul has written, 'the brightness of the glory, and the express image of the person of the Father.' [Heb. 1:3] The followers of Eusebius, drawn aside by their own vile doctrine, then began to say one to another, "Let us agree, because we are also of God; 1.8.8 'There is but one God, by whom are all things;' and, 'Old things are passed away; behold, all things are
		become new, and all things are of God'.' They also gave particular attention to what is contained in 'The Shepherd:' 'Believe above all that there is one God, who

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
		created and fashioned all things, and made them to be out
		of that which is not.'
		1.8.9 "But the bishops saw through their evil design and
		impious fraud and gave a clearer explanation of the words
		'of God' and wrote, that the Son is of the substance of
		God; so that while the creatures, which do not in any way
		derive their existence of or from themselves, are said to be
		of God, only the Son is said to be of the substance of the
		Father;
		1.8.10 this being unique to the only-begotten Son, the
		true Word of the Father. This is the reason why the
		bishops wrote, that He is of the substance of the Father.
		"But when the Arians, who seemed few in number, were
		again interrogated by the Bishops to see if they admitted
		'that the Son is not a creature, but Power, and sole
		Wisdom, and eternal unchangeable Image of the Father;
		and that He is very God,' the Eusebians were noticed
		nodding to each other, saying, "These things apply to us as
		well. For it is said, that we are 'the image and glory of
		God;' and 'for always we who live:"
		1.8.11 There are, also, they said, many powers; "for it is
		written—'All the power of God went out of the land of
		Egypt.' The worm and the locust are said to be 'a great
		power.' And elsewhere it is written, The God of powers is
		with us, our helper is the God of Jacob.' To which may be
		added that we are God's own not naturally, but because
		the Son called us 'brothers.'
		1.8.12 The declaration that Christ is 'the true God' does
		not distress us, for the one who came into being is true."
		"This was the corrupt opinion of the Arians; but at that
		time, the bishops, when they discovered their
		deceitfulness, collected from Scripture those passages
		which say of Christ that He is the glory, the fountain, the stream, and the express image of the person; and they
		quoted the following words: 'In your light we shall see
		light;' and likewise, 'I and the Father are one.'
		1.8.13 Then, with still greater clearness, they briefly
		declared that the Son is of one substance with the Father;
		declared that the Son is of one substance with the Father;

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
		for this, indeed, is the meaning of the passages which have
		been quoted.
		1.8.14 The complaint of the Arians, that these precise
		words are not to be found in Scripture, is proved
		groundless by their own practice, for their own impious
		assertions are not taken from Scripture (for it is not
		written that the Son comes from what was not, and that
		there was a time when He was not) and yet they complain
		about being condemned by expressions which, though not
		actually in Scripture, are in accordance with true religion.
		They themselves, on the other hand, as though they had
		found their words on a dunghill, uttered things that truly
		came from worldly thinking. The bishops, on the other
		hand, did not find their expressions for themselves, but,
		received their testimony from the fathers and wrote
		accordingly.
		1.8.15 Indeed, there were bishops of old, nearly one
		hundred and thirty years ago, both of the great city of
		Rome and of our own city, who condemned those who asserted that the Son is a creature, and that He is not of
		one substance with the Father. Eusebius, the bishop of
		Caesarea, was acquainted with these facts; he, at one time,
		favored the Arian heresy, but later signed the confession
		of faith of the Council of Nicaea.
		1.8.16 He wrote to the people of his diocese,
		maintaining that the word 'consubstantial' was 'used by
		illustrious bishops and learned writers as a term for
		expressing the divinity of the Father and of the Son.'"
		1.8.17 So these men concealed their madness because
		they feared the majority, and gave their assent to the
		decisions of the council, thus drawing upon themselves
		the condemnation of the prophet, for the God of all cries
		out against them, "This people honor Me with their lips,
		but in their hearts they are far from Me."
		1.8.18 Theonas and Secundus, however, did not want to
		take this course, and were excommunicated by unanimous
		agreement as men who lifted the Arian blasphemy above
		evangelical doctrine. The bishops then returned to the

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
		council, and drew up twenty laws to regulate the
		discipline of the Church.
	Eustathius's account of the council at Nicaea	
		1.8.1 I will now walk through in further detail how these
		different events occurred. What happened then? When a general council was summoned at Nicaea, about two
		hundred and seventy bishops were convened. There were,
		however, so many assembled that I cannot state their exact
		number, nor have I taken any great trouble to find out.
		When they began to investigate the nature of the faith, the
		formulation of Eusebius was brought forward, which
		contained undisguised evidence of his blasphemy. 1.8.2 Its public reading gave great grief to the audience
		because of its departure from the faith, while it inflicted
		incurable shame on the writer.
		1.8.3 After the Eusebian gang had been clearly
		convicted, and the impious writing had been torn up in the
		sight of all, some among them worked together, under the
		pretense of preserving peace, to silence all the ablest
		speakers. The Ariomaniacs, afraid that they would be
		ejected from the Church by a council of so many bishops,
		sprang forward to anathematize and condemn the
		doctrines which had been condemned, and unanimously
		signed the confession of faith.
		1.8.4 Thus, they retained possession of their episcopal
		seats through the most shameful deception, even though
		they should have been dismissed. They continue, sometimes secretly, and sometimes openly, to patronize
		the condemned doctrines, plotting against the truth with
		various arguments. Wholly committed to sowing these
		wicked weeds, they shrink from the scrutiny of the
		intelligent, avoid the observant, and attack the preachers
		of godliness.
		1.8.5 But we do not believe that these atheists can in this
		way ever overcome the Deity. For though they 'gird
		themselves' they 'shall be broken in pieces,' according to
		the solemn prophecy of Isaiah."
		1.8.6a These are the words of the great Eustathius.

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
	Constantine write's letters concerning the counc	il
1.9.16b Nor was it the Synod alone that took the trouble	1.25.4 He [Constantine] wrote to the churches in every	
to write letters to the churches announcing the restoration	city, in order that he might make plain to those who had	
of peace, but the emperor Constantine himself also wrote	not been present, what had been rectified by the Synod;	
personally and sent the following address to the church of	and especially to the Church of Alexandria he wrote more	
the Alexandrians.	than this; urging them to lay aside all dissent, and to be	
	harmonious in the faith issued by the Synod; for this	
	could be nothing else than the judgment of God, since it	
	was established by the Holy Spirit from the concurrence	
	of so many and such illustrious high priests, and approved	
	after accurate inquiry and test of all the doubtful points.	
1.9.17 Constantine Augustus, to the catholic church of		
the Alexandrians.		
Greetings, my beloved brothers! We have received a		
complete blessing from Divine Providence, namely, we		
have been relieved from all error and been united in a		
common confession of one and the same faith.		
1.9.18 The devil will no longer have any power against		
us, since all the schemes he in his hatred had devised for		
our destruction, have been entirely overthrown from their		
foundations. At the command of God, the splendor of		
truth has dissolved all the poisons so deadly to unity:		
dissensions, schisms, commotions, and the like. We all		
now worship the One by name, and continue to believe		
that he is the One God.		
1.9.19 In order to accomplish all of this, at God's summoning I assembled a large number of bishops at the		
city of Nicaea, and I joined them in investigating the		
truth, though I am only one of you, who rejoices		
exceedingly in being your fellow-servant.		
1.9.20 All points which seemed ambiguous or could		
possibly lead to dissension have been discussed and		
accurately examined. May the Divine Majesty forgive the		
unfortunately huge number of the blasphemies which		
some were shamelessly uttering against the mighty		
Savior, our life and hope, as they declared and confessed		
things contrary to the divinely inspired Scriptures.		

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
1.9.21 More than three hundred bishops, remarkable for		
their moderation and intellectual keenness, were		
unanimous in their confirmation of one and the same		
faith, a faith which has arisen in agreement with the truths		
of the Law of God. Arius alone had been misled by the		
devil, and was found to be the only one set on promoting		
this unholy mischief, first among you, and afterwards		
among others as well.		
1.9.22 Let us therefore embrace that teaching which the		
Almighty has presented to us. Let us return to our		
beloved brothers from whom we have been separated by		
an irreverent servant of the devil. Let us eagerly come		
together as one common body with those who are our		
fellow members.		
1.9.23 This is fitting for such discernment, faith and		
holiness as yours, that you return to divine favor, since it		
has been proved that this error comes from a man who is		
an enemy of the truth.		
1.9.24 This ruling, made by the collective judgment of		
three hundred bishops, cannot be other than the doctrine		
of God, especially where the Holy Spirit has illuminated		
the divine will by placing it upon the minds of so many		
dignified persons.		
1.9.25 Therefore let no one sit on the fence or delay, but		
let everyone quickly return to the unquestionable path of		
duty, so that when I arrive among you (which will be as		
soon as possible), I may together with you return due		
thanks to God, who closely watches all things, for having		
revealed the pure faith, and for restoring to you that love		
for which you have prayed.		
May God protect you, beloved brothers. ³		
1.9.26 Thus wrote the emperor to the Christians of		
Alexandria, assuring them that the exposition of the faith		
was neither made rashly nor at random, but that it was		
dictated after much research, and after strict investigation.		
He assured them that they did not only speak of some		
things, while suppressing other things in silence.		

_

 $^{^3}$ Translation by AJW, $\underline{\text{http://www.fourthcentury.com/index.php/urkunde-25}}.$

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
Whatever could be fittingly advanced in support of any		
opinion was fully stated. Nothing indeed was determined		
beforehand; everything was previously discussed with		
minute accuracy so that every point which seemed to		
furnish a pretext for ambiguity of meaning, or difference		
of opinion, was thoroughly sifted, and its difficulties		
removed.		
1.9.27 In short he describes the thought of all those who		
were assembled there as the thought of God, and does not		
doubt that the unanimity of so many eminent bishops was		
effected by the Holy Spirit.		
1.9.28 Sabinus, however, the chief of the heresy of the		
Macedonians, willfully rejects these authorities, and calls		
those who were convened there ignorant and illiterate		
people; no, he almost accuses Eusebius of Caesarea		
himself of ignorance. He does not consider that even if		
those who constituted that synod had been laymen, yet as		
being illuminated by God and the grace of the Holy		
Spirit, they were utterly unable to err from the truth.		
1.9.29 Nevertheless, hear what the emperor further		
decreed in another circular letter both against Arius and		
those who held his opinions, sending it in all directions to		
the bishops and people.		
1.9.30 The great and victorious Constantine Augustus to		
the bishops and laity:		
Since Arius is an imitator of the wicked and the ungodly,		
it is only right that he should suffer the same dishonor as		
they. Porphyry, who was hostile to anyone who feared		
God, composed a book which transgressed against our		
religion, and has found a suitable reward: namely that he		
has been disgraced from that time onward, his reputation		
is completely terrible, and his ungodly writings have been		
destroyed. In the same way it seems appropriate that		
Arius and those of like mind with Arius should from now		
on be called Porphyrians, so that their name is taken from		
those whose ways they have imitated. In addition, if any		
writing composed by Arius should be found, it should be		
handed over to the flames, so that not only will the		

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
wickedness of his teaching be obliterated, but nothing		
will be left even to remind anyone of him.		
1.9.31a And I hereby make a public order, that if		
someone should be discovered to have hidden a writing		
composed by Arius, and not to have immediately brought		
it forward and destroyed it by fire, his penalty shall be		
death. As soon as he is discovered in this offense, he shall		
be submitted for capital punishment.		
And in another hand:		
God will watch over you, beloved brothers. ⁴		
		1.9.14b The great emperor also wrote an account of the
		proceedings of the council to those bishops who were
		unable to attend. And I consider it worthwhile to insert
		this epistle in my work, as it clearly evidences the piety of
		the writer.
1.9.32 Constantine Augustus, to the churches.	1.21.6 When this doctrinal controversy had been	1.10.1 Constantine Augustus, to the churches.
The great grace of God's power has constantly been	resolved, the council decided that the Paschal feast should	The great grace of God's power has constantly been
increasing, as is evident in the general prosperity of the	be celebrated at the same time in every place.	increasing, as is evident in the general prosperity of the
empire. I therefore decided to make it my aim above all		empire. I therefore decided to make it my aim above all
else that one faith, sincere love, and unvarying devotion		else that one faith, sincere love, and unvarying devotion to
to Almighty God be maintained among the most blessed		Almighty God be maintained among the most blessed
assemblies of the catholic church.		assemblies of the catholic church.
1.9.33 But I perceived that this could only be		1.10.2 But I perceived that this could only be established
established firmly and permanently when all of the		firmly and permanently when all of the bishops, or at least
bishops, or at least the greatest part, were convened in the		the greatest part, were convened in the same place for a
same place for a council where they could discuss every		council where they could discuss every point of our most
point of our most holy religion. So we assembled as many		holy religion. So we assembled as many as possible, and I
as possible, and I myself was also present as one of you;		myself was also present as one of you; for I will not deny
for I will not deny what I especially rejoice in, that I am		what I especially rejoice in, that I am your fellow-servant.
your fellow-servant. All points were then minutely		All points were then minutely investigated, until a
investigated, until a decision was brought to light which		decision was brought to light which was found acceptable
was found acceptable to him who is the inspector of all		to him who is the inspector of all things, and brought a
things, and brought a unified agreement, leaving nothing		unified agreement, leaving nothing which could cause
which could cause dissension or controversy in matters of		dissension or controversy in matters of faith.
faith.		1.10.3 At the council we also considered the issue of our
1.9.34 At the council we also considered the issue of		holiest day, Easter, and it was determined by common
our holiest day, Easter, and it was determined by common		consent that everyone, everywhere should celebrate it on

_

⁴ Translation by AJW, https://www.fourthcentury.com/urkunde-33/

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
consent that everyone, everywhere should celebrate it on		one and the same day. For what can be more appropriate,
one and the same day.		or what more solemn, than that this feast from which we
1.9.35 For what can be more appropriate, or what more		have received the hope of immortality, should be kept by
solemn, than that this feast from which we have received		all without variation, using the same order and a clear
the hope of immortality, should be kept by all without		arrangement? And in the first place, it seemed very
variation, using the same order and a clear arrangement?		unworthy for us to keep this most sacred feast following
And in the first place, it seemed very unworthy for us to		the custom of the Jews, a people who have soiled their
keep this most sacred feast following the custom of the		hands in a most terrible outrage, and have thus polluted
Jews, a people who have soiled their hands in a most		their souls, and are now deservedly blind. Since we have
terrible outrage, and have thus polluted their souls, and		cast aside their way of calculating the date of the festival,
are now deservedly blind. Since we have cast aside their		we can ensure that future generations can celebrate this
way of calculating the date of the festival, we can ensure		observance at the more accurate time which we have kept
that future generations can celebrate this observance at		from the first day of the passion until the present time.
the more accurate time which we have kept from the first		1.10.4 Therefore have nothing in common with that
day of the passion until the present time.		most hostile people, the Jews. We have received another
1.9.36 Therefore have nothing in common with that		way from the Savior. In our holy religion we have set
most hostile people, the Jews. We have received another		before us a course which is both valid and accurate. Let us
way from the Savior. In our holy religion we have set		unanimously pursue this. Let us, most honored brothers,
before us a course which is both valid and accurate. Let		withdraw ourselves from that detestable association.
us unanimously pursue this. Let us, most honored		1.10.5 It is truly most absurd for them to boast that we
brothers, withdraw ourselves from that detestable		are incapable of rightly observing these things without
association. It is truly most absurd for them to boast that		their instruction. On what subject are they competent to
we are incapable of rightly observing these things without		form a correct judgment, who, after that murder of their
their instruction.		Lord lost their senses, and are led not by any rational
1.9.37 On what subject are they competent to form a		motive, but by an uncontrollable impulsiveness to
correct judgment, who, after that murder of their Lord		wherever their innate fury may drive them? This is why
lost their senses, and are led not by any rational motive,		even in this matter they do not perceive the truth, so that
but by an uncontrollable impulsiveness to wherever their		they constantly err in the utmost degree, and will celebrate
innate fury may drive them? This is why even in this		the Feast of Passover a second time in the same year
matter they do not perceive the truth, so that they		instead of making a suitable correction.
constantly err in the utmost degree, and will celebrate the		1.10.6 Why then should we follow the example of those
Feast of Passover a second time in the same year instead		who are acknowledged to be infected with serious error?
of making a suitable correction.		Surely we should never allow Easter to be kept twice in
1.9.38 Why then should we follow the example of those		one and the same year! But even if these considerations
who are acknowledged to be infected with serious error?		were not laid before you, you should still be careful, both
Surely we should never allow Easter to be kept twice in		by diligence and prayer, that your pure souls should have
one and the same year! But even if these considerations		nothing in common, or even seem to do so, with the
were not laid before you, you should still be careful, both		customs of men so utterly depraved.
by diligence and prayer, that your pure souls should have		

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
nothing in common, or even seem to do so, with the		1.10.7 This should also be considered: In a matter so
customs of men so utterly depraved.		important and of such religious significance, the slightest
1.9.39 This should also be considered: In a matter so		disagreement is most irreverent.
important and of such religious significance, the slightest		1.10.8 For our Savior left us only one day to be
disagreement is most irreverent. For our Savior left us		observed in remembrance of our deliverance, that is the
only one day to be observed in remembrance of our		day of his most holy passion. He also wished his catholic
deliverance, that is the day of his most holy passion. He		church to be one; the members of which are still cared for
also wished his catholic church to be one; the members of		by one Spirit, that is by the will of God, however much
which are still cared for by one Spirit, that is by the will		they may be scattered in various places.
of God, however much they may be scattered in various		1.10.9 Let the good sense consistent with your sacred
places.		character consider how grievous and inappropriate it is,
1.9.40 Let the good sense consistent with your sacred		that on the same days some should be observing fasts,
character consider how grievous and inappropriate it is,		while others are celebrating feasts; and after the days of
that on the same days some should be observing fasts,		Easter some should celebrate festivities and enjoyments,
while others are celebrating feasts; and after the days of		while others submit to appointed fastings. For this reason
Easter some should celebrate festivities and enjoyments,		Divine Providence directed that we put into effect an
while others submit to appointed fastings.		appropriate correction and establish uniformity of
1.9.41 For this reason Divine Providence directed that		practice, as I suppose you are all aware.
we put into effect an appropriate correction and establish		1.10.10 So first, it was desirable to change the situation
uniformity of practice, as I suppose you are all aware.		so that we have nothing in common with that nation of
So first, it was desirable to change the situation so that we		father-killers who slew their Lord. Second, the order
have nothing in common with that nation of father-killers		which is observed by all the churches of the western,
who slew their Lord. Second, the order which is observed		southern, and northern parts, and by some also in the
by all the churches of the western, southern, and northern		eastern is quite suitable. Therefore, at the current time, we
parts, and by some also in the eastern is quite suitable.		all thought it was proper that you, intelligent as you are,
1.9.42 Therefore, at the current time, we all thought it		would also cheerfully accept what is observed with such
was proper that you, intelligent as you are, would also		general unanimity of sentiment in the city of Rome,
cheerfully accept what is observed with such general		throughout Italy, Africa, all Egypt, Spain, France, Britain,
unanimity of sentiment in the city of Rome, throughout		Libya, the whole of Greece, and the dioceses of Asia,
Italy, Africa, all Egypt, Spain, France, Britain, Libya, the		Pontus, and Cilicia. I pledged myself that this solution
whole of Greece, and the dioceses of Asia, Pontus, and		would satisfy you after you carefully examined it,
Cilicia. I pledged myself that this solution would satisfy		especially as I considered that not only are the majority of
you after you carefully examined it, especially as I		congregations located in the places just mentioned, but
considered that not only are the majority of congregations		also that we all have a most sacred obligation, to unite in
located in the places just mentioned, but also that we all		desiring whatever common sense seems to demand, and
have a most sacred obligation, to unite in desiring		what has no association with the perjury of the Jews.
whatever common sense seems to demand, and what has		1.10.11 But to sum up matters briefly, it was determined
no association with the perjury of the Jews.		by common consent that the most holy festival of Easter
		should be solemnized on one and the same day; for it is

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
1.9.43 But to sum up matters briefly, it was determined		not at all decent that there should be in such a sacred
by common consent that the most holy festival of Easter		serious matter any difference. It is quite commendable to
should be solemnized on one and the same day; for it is		adopt this option which has nothing to do with any strange
not at all decent that there should be in such a sacred		errors, nor deviates from what is right.
serious matter any difference. It is quite commendable to		1.10.12 Since these things are consistent, gladly receive
adopt this option which has nothing to do with any		this heavenly and truly divine command. For whatever is
strange errors, nor deviates from what is right.		done in the sacred assemblies of the bishops can be traced
1.9.44 Since these things are consistent, gladly receive		to Divine will. Therefore, once you have demonstrated the
this heavenly and truly divine command. For whatever is		things which have been prescribed to all our beloved
done in the sacred assemblies of the bishops can be traced		brothers, it would be good for you to make public the
to Divine will.		above written statements and to accept the reasoning
1.9.45 Therefore, once you have demonstrated the		which has proved itself to be sound, and to establish this
things which have been prescribed to all our beloved		observance of the most holy day. In this way, when I
brothers, it would be good for you to make public the		arrive to check on your condition, which I have desired
above written statements and to accept the reasoning		earnestly for some time, I will be able to celebrate the
which has proved itself to be sound, and to establish this		sacred festival with you on one and the same day, and will
observance of the most holy day. In this way, when I		rejoice with you for all things, as I see that through our
arrive to check on your condition, which I have desired		efforts divine power is frustrating Satan's cruelty, and that
earnestly for some time,		your faith, peace, and unity are flourishing everywhere.
1.9.46 I will be able to celebrate the sacred festival with		May God preserve you, beloved brothers.
you on one and the same day, and will rejoice with you		
for all things, as I see that through our efforts divine		
power is frustrating Satan's cruelty, and that your faith,		
peace, and unity are flourishing everywhere.		
May God preserve you, beloved brothers. ⁵		
		1.11.1a Thus did the emperor write to the absent.
1.9.64 When the emperor had also written other letters		
of a more oratorical character against Arius and his		
adherents, he caused them to be published everywhere		
throughout the cities, exposing him to ridicule and		
taunting him with irony.		
1.9.65 Moreover, writing to the Nicomedians against		
Eusebius and Theognis, he censures the misconduct of		
Eusebius, not only on account of his Arianism, but		
because when he was formerly well-affected to the ruler,		
he had traitorously conspired against his affairs. He then		
exhorts them to elect another bishop instead of him.		

⁵ Socrates 1.9.32-46 and Theodoret 1.10.1-12 are almost identical Greek text. Cf. http://www.fourthcentury.com/index.php/urkunde-26.

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
1.9.66 But I thought it would be superfluous to insert		
here the letters respecting these things, because of their		
length. Those who wish to do so may find them elsewhere		
and give them a perusal. This is a sufficient amount of		
attention given to these transactions.		
Cons	tantine institutes imperial allowances for the chu	
		1.11.2 He then wrote to the governors of the provinces, directing that provision-money should be given in every city to virgins and widows, and to those who were consecrated to the divine service; and he measured the amount of their annual allowance more by the impulse of his own generosity than by their need. 1.11.3 The third part of the sum is distributed to this day. Julian impiously withheld the whole. His successor conferred the sum which is now dispensed because the famine which then prevailed had lessened the resources of
		the state. If the pensions were formerly triple in amount to
		what they are at present, the generosity of the emperor can
		by this fact be easily seen.
	The conclusion of the council	
	1.25.1 At the very time that these decrees were passed by the council, the twentieth anniversary of the reign of Constantine was celebrated; for it was a Roman custom to have a feast on the tenth year of every reign. The emperor, therefore, thought it to be opportune, and invited the Synod to the festival, and presented suitable gifts to them. 1.25.2 When they prepared to return home, he called them all together, and exhorted them to be of one mind about the faith and at peace among themselves, so that no dissensions might creep in among them from then on.	1.11.1b To those who attended the council, three hundred and eighteen in number he manifested great kindness, addressing them with much gentleness, and presenting them with gifts. He ordered numerous couches to be prepared for their accommodation and entertained them all at one banquet. Those who were most worthy he received at his own table, distributing the rest at the others. Observing that some among them bad had the right eye torn out, and learning that this mutilation had been undergone for the sake of religion, he placed his lips upon the wounds, believing that he would extract a blessing from the kiss. After the conclusion of the feast, he again presented other gifts to them.
1.13.11a The bishops who were convened at the council of Nicaea, after having drawn up and enrolled certain other ecclesiastical regulations, which they usually call canons, again departed to their respective cities.	1.25.3 After many other similar exhortations, he concluded by commanding them to be diligent in prayer, and always to supplicate God for himself, his children, and the empire, and after he had thus addressed those who had come to Nicaea, he bade them farewell.	

Socrates	Sozomen	Theodoret
20 May-July 325 – The duration of the council		
1.13.12b This Synod was convened (as we have		
discovered from the notation of the date prefixed to the		
record of the Synod) during the consulate of Paulinus and		
Julian, on the 20th day of May, in the 636th year from the		
reign of Alexander the Macedonian. Accordingly, the		
work of the council was accomplished. It should be noted		
that after the council the emperor went into the western		
parts of the empire.		