Reference	Dok. 5; Urk. 9; CPG 2065	
Incipit	Ώρα ἐπαναλαβόντα	
Date	319-320	
Ancient source	Eusebius, Contra Marcellum 1.4.18-20, 49-51	
Modern editions	GCS 14:21, 28	
	AW 3.1:12-13	

The fragments from one or more letters of Paulinus of Tyre were cited in Marcellus of Ancyra's *Against Asterius*. The latter work survives only in fragments preserved in Eusebius of Caesarea's *Against Marcellus*. So our fragments are quotations within quotations. They do appear to be quotations from a single letter, though their order is less certain. Their dating is just as problematic, but Brennicke places them early, seemingly as parts of an answer to the previous letter (*Urk*. 4).

The text below is from the second edition of Klostermann and Hansen, *Eusebius Werke*, 4: *Gegen Marcell* (GCS 14, 2nd edition; Berlin: Akademie Verlag, 1972), 21 (Nr. 32), 28. The translation is our own by Glen Thompson.

This work is licensed under CC BY-NC-SA 4.0

Eusebius, Contra Marcellum 1.4.18-20 (Nr. 31)	
18. [Οὐκ εὐαγγελικῆς ὑπομιμνήσκων διδασκαλίας ταῦτ' ἔγραψεν Παυλῖνος, ὁμολογῶν δὲ ἐνίους μὲν ἀφ' ἐαυτῶν οὕτω κινεῖσθαι, ἐνίους δὲ ἐκ τῶν ἀναγνωσμάτων τῶν προειρημένων ἀνδρῶν τοῶτον ἦχθαι τὸν τρόπον.	18. [Paulinus of Tyre wrote these things, without mentioning the gospel's teaching. He confessed some things which they themselves had put forward, and others which they read in the writings of others who spoke in times past, sounding forth this sort of thing.
19. εἶτα τέλος, ὥσπερ τινὰ κορωνίδα τῆς ἀποδείξεως ἐπάγων, ἐκ τῶν Ὠριγένους ῥητῶν τῆ ἑαυτοῦ ὑπέραψεν ἐπιστολῆ, ὡς μάλλον πεῖσαι δυναμένου παρὰ τοὺς εὐαγγελιστὰς καὶ τοὺς ἀποστόλους. ἔστιν δὲ τὰ ῥητὰ ταῦτα·]	19. The result is that they furnish certain "proofs" from the words of Origen in a letter of his, rather than being persuaded by the Evangelists and Apostles. These are their words:]
ώρα ἐπαναλαβόντα περὶ πατρὸς καὶ υίοῦ καὶ ἀγίου πνεύματος, ὀλίγα τῶν τότε παραλελειμμένων διεξελθεῖν περὶ πατρὸς ὡς ἀδιαίρετος ὤν καὶ ἀμέριστος υίοῦ γίγνεται πατήρ, οὐ προβαλὼν αὐτόν, ὡς οἴονταί τινες.	It is time now when we are debating about the Father and the Son and the Holy Spirit, to set out a few points which were omitted then [in Origen's day]. On the subject of the Father, he is Father as undivided and not imparting himself to the Son, not bringing him forth, as some people think.
20. εὶ γὰρ πρόβλημά ἐστιν ὁ υίὸς τοῦ πατρὸς καὶ γέννημα ἐξ αὐτοῦ, ὁποῖα τὰ τῶν ζώων	For if the Son is an issue of the Father and a production from him, as are the productions of

γεννήματα, ἀνάγκη σῶμα εἶναι τὸν προβαλόντα καὶ τὸν προβεβλημένον.	animals, then it is necessary that both the producer and the produced shall be a body
(Nr. 33)	
49. [Τούτοις δὲ τοῖς ῥητοῖς καὶ ὁ τούτου πατηὴρ πειθόμενος Παυλῆνος, ταὐτὰ λέγειν τε καὶ γράφειν οὐκ ὀκνεῖ,]	2. [Later with these words, he who is considered the father of this saying, Paulinus, was not ashamed to speak and to write.]
Ποτὲ μὲν δεύτερον θεὸν λέγων τὸν Χριστὸν καὶ τοῦτον ἀνθρωπικώτερον γεγενῆσθαι θεόν, ποτὲ δὲ κτίσμα αὐτὸν εἶναι διοριζόμενος	Once he said that Christ was a second God, and that he had been begotten as a more human God, and another time he was defining him as a creation
50 Έπειτα διαβάλλει τὸν μακάριον ὡς θεοὺς πολλοὺς εἰρηκοτα,	3Then he [Marcellus] slanders the blessed [Paulinus] as though he had said there were many gods
(Nr. 74)	
51 Όθεν τοίνυν μαθών καὶ ὁ Άστερίου πατὴρ Παυλῖνος νεωτέρους θεοὺς εἶναι ὥετο.	4And since he has learned this, Paulinus, the father of Asterius, thinks that they are younger gods

Last edited 4/30/2024 by AGC